Comprehensive Plan Upper Northeast Area Element

Proposed Amendments

2400 OVERVIEW Overview 2400

2400.1 The Upper Northeast Planning Area encompasses 8.7 square miles and includes about two-thirds of the District’s northeastern quadrant. The Planning Area’s western boundary is formed by North Capitol Street (north of Rhode Island Avenue) and the CSX railroad tracks (south of Rhode Island Avenue), and its southern boundary is formed by Florida Avenue, Benning Road, and the Anacostia waterfront area. The northern/eastern border is Eastern Avenue at the District of Columbia line. These boundaries are shown in the Map map at left. Historically, most of Upper Northeast has been in Ward 5. 2400.1

2400.2 Northeast is principally known as a residential community, with stable single-family neighborhoods, including like Arboretum, Brookland, Woodridge, Queens Chapel, and Michigan Park. It also includes row house neighborhoods, such as like Stronghold and Trinidad, and apartments and higher-density housing in communities, such as like Fort Lincoln, Edgewood, and Carver Terrace. Some communities—Brookland, Ivy City, Fort Totten, and Riggs Park, for example—offer a traditional base of single-family housing and an emerging cluster of transit-oriented development around Metrorail (Metro) stations. 2400.2

2400.3 The mix of uses in Upper Northeast is particularly diverse compared to other parts of the city Washington, DC. The Planning Area contains the largest concentration of industrial land uses in the District of Columbia, following the CSX rail lines north and east from Union Station. It includes three major institutions of higher learning—education—the Catholic University of America (CUA), Trinity University, and Gallaudet University—and numerous other institutions serving other missions. For many years, the CUA planned to repurpose land along Michigan Avenue once occupied by residence halls. In 2014, approximately 11 acres were transformed into Monroe Street Market, a cluster of residences, restaurants, and shops located next to the Brookland/CUA Metro Station. Upper Northeast includes two hospitals—Providence and the Hospital for Sick Children. It also includes several large federal properties, including the Brentwood Postal Facility and the U.S. National Arboretum, the corporate headquarters of Black Entertainment Television (BET) network, one of the city’s largest night clubs, a Federal Express distribution center, and the now-vacant revived Hecht’s Warehouse facility site. 2400.3

2400.4 Upper Northeast is also home to the historic Union Market located within the Florida Avenue Market, which is the city’s District’s fresh produce district, as well as dozens of small shops and local businesses along neighborhood commercial streets, like such as 12th Street, 18th Street, and Rhode Island Avenue. Historically, At one time, Upper Northeast the area had many more neighborhood shopping districts like these, but they have declined the number of
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**shopping districts has been reduced**, or in some cases disappeared entirely due to competition from larger auto-oriented and suburban-style shopping centers, including shopping centers in the District of Columbia. 2400.4

2400.5 The Planning Area is especially well known for its large concentration of religious institutions, including the Basilica of the National Shrine of the Immaculate Conception and the Pope John Paul II Cultural Center. It contains numerous seminaries and ministries, some occupying park-like settings with rolling lawns and **historic impressive** buildings. The Franciscan Monastery; and the homes of the Josephites, the Carmelites, and many other religious orders are located here. **Most of these properties meet the qualifications for historic designations, but few are officially recognized.** In addition to these historic institutions, the Planning Area also includes portions of **several important public landscapes, all of which are historic: the Fort Circle Parks multiple Civil War Defenses of Washington, otherwise known as the Fort Circle Parks, and the historic Langston Golf Course, and** -- It is also the gateway to the National Arboretum. 2400.5

2400.6 Several major arterial streets, including New York Avenue, Rhode Island Avenue, South Dakota Avenue, Bladensburg Road, Michigan Avenue, and Riggs Road, cross the Planning Area. The **area** also includes the Fort Totten, Brookland/CUA, and Rhode Island Avenue-Brentwood Metro stations, as well as NoMa-Gallaudet U Metro station, which is on the border with the Central Washington and Capitol Hill Area Elements. All four of these stations are served by Metrorail’s Red Line. The Metropolitan Branch Trail is being developed through this area, linking Upper Northeast neighborhoods to Downtown Washington, DC. 2400.6

2400.7 **Upper Northeast has experienced significant growth along the Red Line over the past 10 years. This growth is anticipated to continue as underused land, such as surface parking lots and underperforming strip malls near the Metro stations and along the neighboring corridors, redevelop. 2400.7**

2400.78 **Upper Northeast neighborhoods are home to many lifelong Washingtonians and have a strong tradition history of strong civic involvement.** Civic associations, Advisory Neighborhood Commissions (ANCs), churches, **block clubs and garden clubs and community organizations** are actively involved in discussions about the community’s future. Non-profit organizations, such as the North Capitol and Brookland–Edgewood Family Support Collaboratives and the United Planning Organization, also play an important role in community life. 2400.78

2400.89 **Upper Northeast shares some of the same challenges facing other parts of the District. The area’s poverty, crime, and unemployment rates are all above the city District average. Schools are aging; some are significantly “under-enrolled” and will probably be closed in the coming years. Many parts of the area lack access to**
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open space, parks, and retail services. The area has a large population of seniors older adults, many with special transportation, housing, and health care needs. The Planning Area also faces the challenge of an increasingly unaffordable housing market. A new generation of homeowners has invested in discovered Upper Northeast—driving up prices and increasing, which has led to increased prices and housing demand. Between 2004 and 2005 alone, the median purchase price of a home in the two ZIP codes that encompass most of the Planning Area (20017 and 20018) increased 45 percent. The greatest future challenge will be to respond to change in a way that keeps Upper Northeast a socially, culturally, and economically diverse community. 2400.8

2401 HISTORY History 2401

2401.1 Upper Northeast began as a series of land grants made by British King Charles I to George Calvert, the first Lord Baltimore. During the 1700s and early 1800s, early settlers enjoyed meadows, woodlands, farms, and open countryside. Tracks for the Baltimore and Ohio (B&O) Railroad were laid out in the 1830s, but the area remained sparsely populated until the turn of the 20th century. In the 1840s, Colonel Brooks, a veteran of the War of 1812, built the Greek Revival mansion that still stands today at 901 Newton Street. Several Civil War strongholds were developed in the area during the 1860s, including Fort Bunker Hill, Fort Slemmer, Fort Totten, and Fort Lincoln. 2401.1

2401.2 One of the first settlements in the area was Ivy City, developed around 1872 along the B&O Railroad tracks. Ivy City later became a brick-manufacturing center and was home to the National Fair Grounds in the late 1800s. In 1879, the B&O Railroad developed additional rail lines through Upper Northeast, connecting Washington to Pittsburgh, Chicago, and points west. Industrial uses followed the railroads, locating along the sidings. Trolley lines were extended out Rhode Island Avenue in 1897, beginning the area’s residential growth, as well as the growth of nearby communities in Maryland. 2401.2

2401.3 Beginning in the late 1880s, the Brooks estate was subdivided, and the Brookland neighborhood was born. The deep lots and spacious porches created the ambiance of small-town living just a few miles from central Washington, DC. The houses went for as little as $300 and were affordable for teachers, tradesmen, and government workers—moderate-income residents. By 1900, the neighborhood boasted plank sidewalks and a streetcar line. Much of the neighborhood’s architectural heritage, including Victorians, bungalows, and colonial homes, remains intact today and is part of the neighborhood’s charm. 2401.3

2401.4 Catholic University CUA was established in the area in 1887. Several other religious organizations settled nearby. The Sisters of Notre Dame de Namur founded Trinity University in 1901, and the Dominicans built their House of
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Studies the same year. The Paulists, the Marists, and Holy Cross Fathers soon followed. By the 1920s, the area had gained the nickname “Little Rome.” By this time, Gallaudet University had already been flourishing for half a century on a campus near Ivy City, growing from humble beginnings in the 1860s to become the nation’s premier college for the deaf and hearing-impaired students after opening in the 1860s. 2401.4

2401.5 Much of the Upper Northeast was developed between 1920 and 1950. Major industrial and commercial development occurred during this period, and the rail corridors became a well-established regional distribution center. New York Avenue became the major route into Washington from the northeast, attracting hotels, motels, and tourist services. Large-scale housing construction took place during the 1920s in Ivy City and Trinidad, and the 1930s saw construction of historic Langston Dwellings, one of the nation’s second first public housing complexes, and one of the District’s first examples of modern architecture, and now a National Historic Landmark. Housing developments like Brentwood Village and Riggs Park were constructed during the 1930s and ‘40s, and smaller-scale development took place during the 1950s in the Lamond-Riggs and Fort Totten areas. 2401.5

2401.6 By the 1960s, most of the area was fully developed. Fort Lincoln, the last remaining large tract of vacant land, was conceived as a “New Town” as part of the Johnson Administration’s Great Society program. The 360-acre site was intended to be an innovative experiment in participatory democracy, and racial and economic integration, with residents involved in the community’s development and profits. A private company was selected to build the project, which initially included 550 condominiums, 666 senior citizen apartments for older adults, and 157 garden apartments. During the 1970s, the National Park Service (NPS) built a playground and park area, and the city District built an elementary school and indoor swimming pool. Only about half of the original plan was actually carried out, however. Most of the remaining acreage at Fort Lincoln is slated for development in the next 10 years, however, so the promise of the initial plans for the community may yet be fulfilled. In the early 2000s, the next phases of Fort Lincoln New Town were developed. They include townhomes and retail, such as Costco, Lowe’s Home Improvement, and Dick’s Sporting Goods. 2401.6

2402 LAND USE Land Use 2402

2402.1 Statistics on existing land use are estimated from current lot-by-lot property tax data together with additional information on housing units, employment, District and federal land ownership, parks, roads, water bodies, etc. They are not comparable to the statistics included in the 2006 Comprehensive Plan, which were based on a much simpler method. Even large differences between...
the older and newer statistics may reflect differences in the modeling approaches used a decade apart, not actual changes in land use. Upper Northeast is made up of approximately 5,640 5,739 acres, or about 1413 percent of the city’s District’s land area. The composition of uses is shown in Figure 24.1. The area’s land use mix is among the most diverse in the city Washington, DC. 2402.1

2402.2 Figure 24.1: Land Use Composition in Upper Northeast. 2402.2

Residential development is the single largest land use, representing about 26 percent of the total area. Of the residential land area, about 44 percent is developed with single-family detached homes and about 41 percent with row houses and two-family houses. Apartments make up only about 15 percent of the residential land area. Denser housing is located at Carver Terrace, Montana Terrace, Langston Terrace, Edgewood, Fort Lincoln, and Brentwood. 2402.3

2402.4 Commercial and industrial uses make up about 10 nine percent of Upper Northeast’s land area. With the addition of railroads, utilities, and municipal facilities such as salt domes and bus garages, the percentage rises to almost 17
percent of the Planning Area. In fact, Upper Northeast contains almost two-thirds of the city’s District’s industrial acreage. Much of the space consists of warehouse and distribution facilities, light manufacturing, automotive services, and service businesses, such as construction suppliers and printers. These uses are tend to congregate be concentrated along New York Avenue, Bladensburg Road, Brentwood Road, Florida Avenue, V Street, and West Virginia Avenue, as well as in the area between the Rhode Island and Fort Totten Metro stations, and elsewhere along the heavy rail/Metro corridor. Commercial uses include neighborhood-oriented shopping districts and larger shopping centers like the Home Depot/Giant Rhode Island Place Shopping Center on Brentwood Road; and Hechinger Mall. 2402.4

2402.5 Institutional and local public facilities land make up 43 11 percent of the Planning Area, one of the largest percentages in the city. Most of this total is associated with colleges, universities, and religious institutions. The area also contains more than 1,000,106 acres of parks, recreation, and open space, representing 4819.6 percent of its total area. However, much of the open space is actually Mount Olivet and Glenwood Cemeteries— or is located on the far eastern edge of the area within the confines of the National Arboretum. Large parks are generally associated with the Fort Circle chain parks and are located on the area’s northern and eastern perimeter. 2402.5

2403 DEMOGRAPHICS Demographics 2403

2403.1 The Upper Northeast area has grown at a moderate pace since 2000. Currently, the population is 70,613 residents, an increase of more than 10,000 people in 17 years. The population is expected to grow over the next several decades to a population exceeding 112,000 by 2045. Figure 24.2 presents a snapshot of the Upper Northeast area and change since 2000. The population of Upper Northeast has been falling for the past five decades. In 1990, the area had 68,879 residents. In 2000, this figure dropped 15 percent to 59,394. By 2005, the population had fallen to an estimated 58,500. The decline has been steeper than in the city as a whole, and the area has yet to experience the reversal in decline that has taken place in much of the District. 2403.1

2403.2 While some of the decline has been due to a drop in household size (from 2.41 persons per household in 1990 to 2.20 persons per household in 2005), there has also been a net loss of households. During the 1990s, the area lost 1,600 households, many in the Ivy City and Trinidad communities. By 2000, these communities had some of the highest rates of abandoned housing stock in the city. Since 2000, the number of households has increased slightly. 2403.2

2403.32 As indicated in Table Figure 24.2, approximately 87 70 percent of the area’s
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residents are **African-American Black**, which is **significantly** higher than the citywide-average **District-wide total** of **60.48** percent. **Since 2000, the Black population has decreased from 51,705 residents to 49,614 residents. Only about three** **Approximately ten** percent of the area’s residents are of **Hispanic/Latino origin, and five percent are foreign born, which is an increase compared to three percent in 2000. The area has also experienced an increase in the foreign-born population, increasing from five percent in 2000 to 12 percent in 2017. The foreign-born population is lower than the District-wide total of 14 percent.**

2403.43 Relative to the city **District as a whole**, the area has a **much** higher percentage of seniors. Almost one in **five seven** residents of Upper Northeast is **over 65 and over**, and the percentage is **even** higher in neighborhoods like North Michigan Park and Woodridge. **The percentage of older adults has decreased since 2000 by almost three percent, and the percentage of residents under the age of 18 has decreased slightly since 2000 but is higher than the District-wide total (19 percent compared to 18 percent District-wide). Approximately eight percent of the area’s residents reside in group quarters, such as dormitories, seminaries, nursing homes, and community-based residential facilities. This is slightly higher than the percentage for the city as a whole, reflecting the large number of institutional uses that are present.**

2403.43  2403.5  **Table Figure 24.2: Upper Northeast at a Glance.**
## Basic Statistics and Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2045</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
<td>58,684</td>
<td>60,682</td>
<td>70,613</td>
<td>83,263</td>
<td>98,198</td>
<td>112,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Households</strong></td>
<td>23,513</td>
<td>24,762</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>35,780</td>
<td>43,253</td>
<td>50,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Population</strong></td>
<td>53,990</td>
<td>55,168</td>
<td>64,897</td>
<td>76,144</td>
<td>90,697</td>
<td>104,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Persons Per Household</strong></td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jobs</strong></td>
<td>45,769</td>
<td>27,155</td>
<td>30,731</td>
<td>36,715</td>
<td>46,443</td>
<td>52,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density (persons per sq mile)</strong></td>
<td>6,669</td>
<td>6,896</td>
<td>8,024</td>
<td>9,462</td>
<td>11,159</td>
<td>12,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land Area (square miles)</strong></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2000 and 2017 Census Data Profile

#### Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>12,353</td>
<td>13,143</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>35,901</td>
<td>46,818</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>13,728</td>
<td>20,769</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-64</td>
<td>22,173</td>
<td>26,050</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>10,430</td>
<td>10,651</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Citywide Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>12,353</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>13,143</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>35,901</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>46,818</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>13,728</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>20,769</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-64</td>
<td>22,173</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>26,050</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>10,430</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>10,651</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Residents Below Poverty Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents Below Poverty Level</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Citywide 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11,564</td>
<td>12,133</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017*</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Racial Composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial Composition</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>5,316</td>
<td>11,045</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>51,705</td>
<td>49,614</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>1,430</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>3,217</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1,837</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial Composition</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Citywide Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>5,316</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>11,045</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>51,705</td>
<td>87.1%</td>
<td>49,614</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>1,430</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>3,217</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1,837</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hispanic Origin</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Citywide 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1,769</td>
<td>7,012</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017*</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Citywide 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Households</td>
<td>11,501</td>
<td>12,333</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Households</td>
<td>12,240</td>
<td>14,666</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Citywide Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Households</td>
<td>11,501</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>12,333</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Households</td>
<td>12,240</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td>14,666</td>
<td>54.3%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Housing Occupancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Occupancy</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Citywide 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Units</td>
<td>23,741</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Units</td>
<td>3,111</td>
<td>2,625</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Occupancy</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Citywide Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Units</td>
<td>23,741</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>91.1%</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Units</td>
<td>3,111</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>2,625</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Housing by Unit Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing by Unit Type</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>Citywide 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-unit, detached</td>
<td>5,506</td>
<td>5,684</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-unit, attached</td>
<td>8,483</td>
<td>9,118</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 units</td>
<td>4,845</td>
<td>4,840</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9 units</td>
<td>1,902</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19 units</td>
<td>3,001</td>
<td>3,247</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>3,090</td>
<td>4,874</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile/other</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing by Unit Type</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Citywide Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-unit, detached</td>
<td>5,506</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>5,684</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-unit, attached</td>
<td>8,483</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>9,118</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 units</td>
<td>4,845</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>4,840</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9 units</td>
<td>1,902</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19 units</td>
<td>3,001</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>3,247</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>3,090</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>4,874</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile/other</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2404 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS Housing Characteristics 2404

2404.1 More than Half of the housing units in Upper Northeast are single-family homes. According to the 2000 2017 Census, about 24 19 percent of the units were single-family detached homes, and 32 31 percent were row houses and townhomes. Both of these figures exceed the citywide average District-wide total. Duplexes, triplexes, and fourplexes made up about 18 16 percent, of the units are in which is also higher than the citywide average District-wide total. Conversely, only 8.3 Seventeen percent of the area’s housing stock consists of multi-family buildings of 50 20 units or more, compared to 23 35 percent in the city District as a whole. 2404.1

2404.2 The 2000 2017 Census also reported that the number of renter households was slightly higher than and the number of owner households in Upper Northeast (54 percent and 46 percent, respectively). The percent of renter households has increased by about three percent since 2000, was about equal. Much of Upper Northeast consists of stable, well-established housing stock with relatively low turnover. In 2000, almost 60 percent of the population had been living in their homes for five years or longer, significantly higher than the citywide average of 47 percent. 2404.2

2405 INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT Income and Employment 2405

2405.1 According to the 2000 2017 Census, median household income in Upper Northeast was $36,453 $62,605 compared to a citywide District-wide median of $45,927 $77,649. Approximately 19 18 percent of the area’s residents lived below the federal poverty level. This is slightly higher than the poverty level District-wide, at 17 percent an increase from 1990, when the figure was 15.1 percent. 2405.1

2405.2 Data from the District Department of Employment Services and the Office of Planning indicates that Upper Northeast had 30,000 30,731 jobs in 2005 2017, primarily in institutional uses and in the production, distribution, and repair sector. This represents 5.2 four percent of the city’s job base. The Planning Area has appears to have an excellent balance between jobs and households, with about 1.5 1.1 jobs per household. However, most of the jobs are held by non-District residents, and most of the residents in Upper Northeast work elsewhere. Based on 2000 Census data, about 54 percent of the Planning Area’s jobs are filled by Maryland residents and about 12 percent are filled by Virginia residents. Only eight percent are filled by residents of Upper Northeast. 2405.2

2405.3 The 2000 Census found that about 31 percent of the residents of Upper Northeast worked in Central Washington and about 39 percent worked elsewhere within the District of Columbia. About 30 percent commuted to the suburbs. 2405.3
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2406  PROJECTIONS  Projections 2406

2406.1 Based on an analysis of approved development, available land, regional growth trends, and local planning policies, the population decline experienced in Upper Northeast since the 1950s from the 1950s to the early 2000s has come to an end. In fact, the Planning Area is projected to add approximately 5,000 households by 2025, and its population is projected to rise about 19% to 70,000 residents. The primary areas of population growth are around the Metro stations at Fort Totten, Brookland, NoMa-Gallaudet U, and Rhode Island Avenue; along major corridors like New York Avenue, Rhode Island Avenue, and North Capitol Street; at Fort Lincoln; and in the vicinity of Hechinger Mall/Benning Road. 2406.1

2406.2 More than half of the additional households are associated with specific sites that are in various stages of planning and development. These include the remaining vacant parcels at the Fort Lincoln New Town, WMATA Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA)-owned land at the three Metro stations, and private development projects, such as the 500-unit approximately 257-unit Arboretum Place built north of Hechinger Mall, Union Market, Brookland Manor, and CUA. 2406.2

2406.3 The number of jobs is expected to increase from 29,395 in 2015 to 52,846, an increase of about 78% percent over the next 20-30 years. Most of the increase is associated with redevelopment of key parcels along the New York Avenue and Bladensburg corridors, and mixed-use development around the Metro stations. Expansion of industrially zoned acreage in the area is not expected. In fact, most of the employment growth will be the result of the planned conversion of former industrial land to new uses, especially near Metro stations, as identified in Small Area Plans such as the Brookland/CUA Small Area Plan and Florida Avenue Market Small Area Plan. 2406.3

2407  PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PRIORITIES  Planning and Development Priorities 2407

2407.1 This section summarizes the opportunities and challenges residents and stakeholders prioritized during the 2006 Comprehensive Plan revision. During large community workshops, residents shared their feedback on District-wide and neighborhood specific issues. Since the 2006 community workshops, however, some of the challenges and opportunities facing the community have evolved. The following summary does not reflect new community priorities or feedback from either amendment cycle but summarizes the most important issues during the 2006 Comprehensive Plan revision. 2407.1
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2407.42 Workshops over the course of the Comprehensive Plan Revision provided an opportunity for residents of Upper Northeast to share their views on important planning issues. Input from these workshops was supplemented with feedback from Advisory Neighborhood Commissioners (ANCs), community groups, and individual residents. Many other meetings have been held on long-range planning issues in the Upper Northeast Planning area, including workshops for the Northeast Gateway Small Area Plan; Ward 5 summits on transportation and economic development; transportation meetings on the Rhode Island Avenue, New York Avenue, and South Dakota Avenue corridors; and meetings on specific development proposals. 2407.42

2407.23 Several important messages and priorities were expressed at these meetings:

- **A)** Upper Northeast neighborhoods take pride in their stability as middle-class, middle-income, family-oriented communities. Although the community’s population declined by 20 percent during the 1980s and 1990s, there is still a strong sense of identity and civic pride in places like Arboretum, North Michigan Park, and Woodridge. Conservation of the existing housing stock is a high priority although there are differences of opinion on the best way to achieve this. Neighborhoods such as Brookland, where about two-thirds of the homes pre-date World War II, have debated the possibility of historic district designation, but have yet to reach a consensus on the best way to preserve the historic character of the community.

- **B)** Residents of Upper Northeast are feeling the pressure of escalating housing costs. Displacement is a concern in neighborhoods like Ivy City and Trinidad, where one-quarter of the residents live below the poverty line and home prices have tripled in the last five years. Upper Northeast includes many lower-income households, residents on public assistance, and hard working people trapped in low wage jobs. There is anxiety about expiring federal housing subsidies, and the future of large assisted public housing complexes like Langston Dwellings. Residents want assurance that they will not be dislocated if and when these complexes are renovated or replaced. The recent redevelopment of Montana Terrace provides a good example of meeting affordable housing needs while creating opportunities for home ownership and stability for existing residents.

- **C)** Residents are concerned that they are the location of choice for “unwanted” municipal land uses, such as trash transfer stations, bus garages, youth detention centers, vehicle maintenance facilities, and halfway houses. While there is an appreciation for the importance of these uses to the city District, there are concerns about their continued concentration in Upper Northeast simply because the area has a large supply of industrially zoned land.
Comprehensive Plan Upper Northeast Area Element

Proposed Amendments

- D) Upper Northeast neighborhoods have lived with the heavy truck traffic, noise, and visual blight unappealing views that comes with industrial land uses for decades. This is particularly true in Ivy City, Langdon, Brentwood, and the 7th-8th Street NE area southwest of the Brookland Metro station. Residents are especially concerned about large trucks, vibration, dust, air pollution, and the transport of hazardous materials on the railroads. There is also a desire to clean up brownfield sites in the community and return them to productive use. These sites provide an opportunity to apply “green” development principles, turning environmental liabilities into environmental assets.

- E) Retail choices in Upper Northeast need to be expanded. For 20 years, Hechinger Mall was the only large shopping center in the area. Options have improved with the opening of Home Depot/Giant, and will get better still with a planned new shopping center at Fort Lincoln, but these centers are auto-oriented and are not convenient to everyone in the community. Many of the commercial areas in Upper Northeast are dominated by used car lots, carry-outs, liquor stores, automotive uses and other activities that are not conducive to neighborhood shopping. More retail districts like Brookland’s 12th Street are desired to meet the day-to-day needs of residents. Rhode Island Avenue, Benning Road, Florida Avenue, Bladensburg Road, and the areas around the Metro stations have the potential to become pedestrian-oriented shopping districts. The Florida Market also has the potential to become a more vital shopping district, serving not only as a wholesale venue but also as a retail center for Ivy City, Trinidad, Eckington, and nearby neighborhoods.

- F) Although seminaries, cemeteries, and institutions provide much greenery, and the community is ringed by the National Arboretum, the Anacostia River, and the Fort Circle Parks, much of Upper Northeast is starved for public parkland. More active recreational areas, playgrounds, athletic fields, and traditional neighborhood parks are needed. Better connections to the Arboretum and Anacostia River are needed. There are also concerns that the large institutional open spaces—particularly the great lawns and wooded glades of the area’s religious orders—may someday be lost to development. These properties are important to the health of the community and should be considered as opportunities for new neighborhood and community parks (as well as housing) if they become available. They are the “lungs” of the neighborhood.

- G) The area’s major thoroughfares need to be improved. New York Avenue is the gateway to the Nation’s capital for over 100,000 vehicles a day and provides the first impression of Upper Northeast (and the District of Columbia) for many residents, commuters, and visitors. Its motels and fast food joints, used car lots, chop shops,
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strip clubs, salvage yards, and warehouses do not project a positive image. Moreover, the street is often clogged with traffic, especially around its interchanges with South Dakota Avenue and Florida Avenue. The same is true of Bladensburg Road, and some of the other arterial streets in the area. The community wishes to see these corridors upgraded, without diverting traffic to other thoroughfares and residential streets nearby.

• **H)** Upper Northeast did not experience the kind of large-scale development experienced elsewhere in the city of Washington, DC between 2000 and 2005, but that is likely to change in the next few years. Proposals to redevelop the Capital City Market as a “new town” are being discussed, and a large mixed-use development is also under consideration at the Bladensburg/New York Avenue intersection. Residents are also very interested in proposals for the McMillan Reservoir Sand Filtration Site and the Armed Forces Retirement Home, as development on these sites would challenge the roads, infrastructure, and public services in Upper Northeast. Growth and development must be carefully managed to avoid negative impacts, and should be leveraged to provide benefits for the community.

• **I)** There is general—though not universal—agreement that the Rhode Island Avenue, Brookland/CUA, and Fort Totten Metrorail stations are logical locations for future development. The stations are currently adjoined by parking lots and industrial uses that do not take advantage of their proximity to Metro. These areas may provide opportunities for apartments, condominiums, townhomes, and other types of moderate and medium-density housing, provided that measures are taken to buffer adjacent lower-density neighborhoods, address parking and traffic issues, and mitigate other community concerns. There are differences of opinion as to the appropriate density of development and the precise mix of uses at each station. Small Area Plans are needed for each area to continue the community dialogue on their future.

• **J)** More should be done to connect Upper Northeast residents with jobs in the Planning Area. Right now, only 10 percent of those who live in Upper Northeast actually work in Upper Northeast. With 40,000 jobs in the community, that figure should be much higher. The area’s nine percent unemployment rate is unacceptably high. Trade schools, vocational schools, and apprenticeship programs are needed to strengthen labor force skills and provide more pathways to employment for local residents.

• **K)** Schools and other public facilities in Upper Northeast should be retained in public ownership, even if they are closed due to “underenrollment.” Residents attending Comprehensive Plan meetings felt strongly that these facilities should not be sold for development, but should be kept in public ownership and used for
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the delivery of other community services, such as health care and senior care for older adults. The need for senior services for older adults is particularly high, given the high percentage of seniors older adults (over 25 percent of the population in neighborhoods like Woodridge and North Michigan Park). Many of the schools, libraries, recreation centers, and other public facilities in the area are in need of modernization. Crummell School is an particularly troubling example. The modernization of Noyes Elementary and Luke Moore Academy are promising, but there is much more to accomplish. 2407.23

2408 UNE-1.1 GUIDING GROWTH AND NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION Guiding Growth and Neighborhood Conservation 2408

2408.1 The following general policies and actions should guide growth and neighborhood conservation decisions in Upper Northeast. These policies and actions should be considered in tandem with those in the Citywide Elements of the Comprehensive Plan. 2408.1

2408.2 Policy UNE-1.1.1: Neighborhood Conservation Protect and enhance Encourage growth while enhancing the stable neighborhoods of Upper Northeast, such as Michigan Park, North Michigan Park, University Heights, Woodridge, Brookland, Queens Chapel, South Central, Lamond-Riggs, and Arboretum. The residential character of these areas shall be conserved preserved while allowing new housing opportunities for all incomes, and places Places of historic significance, gateways, parks, and special places important cultural and social places shall be preserved and be enhanced. 2408.2

2408.3 Policy UNE-1.1.2: Compatible Infill Encourage compatible residential infill development throughout Upper Northeast neighborhoods, especially in Brentwood, Ivy City, and Trinidad, where numerous scattered vacant residentially-zoned properties exist. Such development should be consistent with the designations on the Future Land Use Map. New and rehabilitated housing in these areas should meet the needs of a diverse community that includes renters and owners; seniors, young adults, and families; and persons of low and very low-income, as well as those of moderate and higher incomes. 2408.3

2408.4 Policy UNE-1.1.3: Metro Station Development Capitalize on the presence of the Metro stations at Rhode Island Avenue, Brookland-CUA, and Fort Totten, to provide new transit-oriented housing, community services, and jobs. New development around each of these three stations is strongly supported. Locating higher-density housing near Metro
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stations minimizes the impact of cars and traffic that would be expected if the residents lived farther from high-capacity transit. The District will coordinate with WMATA to ensure that make the design, density, and type of housing or other proposed development at these stations is compatible with surrounding neighborhoods; respects community concerns and feedback; and serves a variety of household incomes; and mitigates impacts on parking, traffic, and public services. Development shall comply with other provisions of the Comprehensive Plan regarding the compatibility of new land uses with established development, such as existing production, distribution, and repair (PDR) uses. Development shall also comply with other Comprehensive Plan guidance regarding the provision of appropriate open space, and mitigation of impacts on traffic, parking, management of mobility, and public services. 2408.4

2408.5 Policy UNE-1.1.4: Reinvestment in Assisted Public Housing
Continue to reinvest in Upper Northeast’s publicly assisted housing stock. As public housing complexes are modernized or reconstructed, actions should be taken to minimize displacement and to create homeownership opportunities for current residents. 2408.5

2408.6 Policy UNE-1.1.5: Vacant and Abandoned Structures
Reduce the number of vacant, abandoned, and boarded up structures in Upper Northeast, particularly in the Ivy City and Trinidad areas. 2408.6

2408.7 Policy UNE-1.1.6: Neighborhood Shopping
Improve neighborhood shopping areas throughout Upper Northeast. Continue to enhance 12th Street NE in Brookland as a walkable neighborhood shopping street and encourage similar pedestrian-oriented retail development along Rhode Island Avenue, Bladensburg Road, South Dakota Avenue, West Virginia Avenue, Florida Avenue, and Benning Road. New pedestrian-oriented retail activity should also be encouraged around the area’s Metro stations. 2408.7

2408.8 Policy UNE-1.1.7: Larger-Scale Retail Development
Encourage additional community-serving retail development at the existing Brentwood Shopping Center (Home Depot-Giant), the Rhode Island Avenue Shopping Center (4th and Rhode Island NE), and Hechinger Mall. Encourage new large-scale retail development at Fort Lincoln. Design such development to complement, rather than compete with, the neighborhood-oriented business districts in the area. 2408.8

2408.9 Policy UNE-1.1.8: Untapped Economic Development Potential
Recognize the significant potential of the area’s commercially and industrially zoned lands, particularly along the New York Avenue corridor, V Street NE, West Virginia Avenue, and Bladensburg Road, and around the Capital City Florida Avenue Market, to generate jobs, provide new shopping
opportunities, enhance existing businesses, create new business ownership opportunities, and promote the vitality and economic well-being of the Upper Northeast community. The uses, height, and bulk permitted under the existing M-PDR and CM-1 zones are expected to remain for the foreseeable future. 2408.9

2408.10  
Policy UNE-1.1.9: Production, Distribution, and Repair Uses
Retain the existing concentration of production, distribution, and repair (Encourage existing PDR) uses in Upper Northeast, but encourage the upgrading of these uses through to incorporate higher design standards, landscaping, and improved screening and buffering. Emphasize a mixture of new uses to be colocated with the PDR uses, including retail and office space, that create jobs for Upper Northeast area residents, and that minimize off-site impacts on the surrounding residential areas. 2408.10

2408.11  
Policy UNE-1.1.10: High Impact Industrial Uses
Strongly discourage the further proliferation of junkyards, scrap yards, and other high-impact industrial uses within the area, since these activities do not enhance the quality of life for residents of the District, in either the city as a whole or the surrounding residential areas of Upper Northeast. Take appropriate action to reduce the potential for these uses to encroach into established residential and commercial areas within Upper Northeast, and to address environmental health and safety issues for employees and for those who live or work nearby. 2408.11

2408.12  
Policy UNE-1.1.11: Buffering
Improve the interface between residential neighborhoods, industrial/commercial areas, and the railroad and Metro rail lines. Protect Buffer neighborhoods such as Gateway, South Central, Ivy City, North Michigan Park, Riggs Park, and Brentwood from noise, truck traffic, commuter traffic, odor, and compromised infrastructure; and take steps to reduce the damaging effects of excessive noise and vibration from Metrorail Metro and commercial train traffic for homes along the CSX and Metro lines in Brookland, Queens Chapel, North Michigan Park, Brentwood, and Gateway. 2408.12

2408.13  
Policy UNE-1.1.12: Truck Traffic
Continue to work with the community and area businesses to reduce heavy truck traffic on residential streets, particularly along W Street, West Virginia Avenue, Taylor Street NE, and 8th Street NE. Assess the circulation needs of businesses in these areas to determine if there are alternate means of access that would reduce impacts on adjacent neighborhoods. 2408.13

2408.14  
Action UNE-1.1.A: Industrial/Residential Buffers
Develop additional solutions to buffer residential and industrial areas from one another. One possibility is to consider extending the Langdon Overlay (L-O)
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zone, which prohibits certain types of industrial uses in immediate proximity to residential uses and which requires screening to protect residential areas. Other approaches to buffering, such as the recommendations in the 2014 Ward 5 Works Industrial Land Transformation Study and design guidelines, also should be considered. 2408.14

2408.15 Action UNE-1.1.B: Industrial Land Use Transformation Study Implement the applicable recommendations of the 2006 Industrial Land Use Study for Upper Northeast 2014 Ward 5 Works Industrial Land Transformation Study. 2408.15

See the Land Use and Economic Development Elements for a description of this study.

2408.16 Action UNE-1.1.C: Traffic Safety Improvements Improve traffic safety throughout the Upper Northeast area, particularly along Eastern Avenue, Franklin Street, Monroe Street, Brentwood Road, Bladensburg Road, Rhode Island Avenue, South Dakota Avenue, and New York Avenue. 2408.16

2409 UNE-1.2 CONSERVING AND ENHANCING COMMUNITY RESOURCES Conserving and Enhancing Community Resources 2409

2409.1 Policy UNE-1.2.1: Streetscape Improvements Improve the visual quality of streets in Upper Northeast, especially along North Capitol Street, Rhode Island Avenue, Bladensburg Road, New York Avenue, Eastern Avenue, Michigan Avenue, Maryland Avenue, Florida Avenue, West Virginia Avenue, and Benning Road. Landscaping, street tree planting, street lighting, and other improvements should make these streets more attractive community gateways. 2409.1

2409.2 Policy UNE-1.2.2: Protecting-Preserving Local Historic Resources Protect Preserve historic resources in Upper Northeast, including Gallaudet University, the Brooks Mansion, Crummell School, the homes of Ralph Bunche and Samuel Gompers, the Franciscan Monastery, Langston Terrace housing development project, Langston Golf Course, Union Market Terminal, the Hospital for Sick Children, Glenwood Cemetery, and the Fort Circle Parks. 2409.2

2409.3 Policy UNE-1.2.3: Highlighting Local Cultural Resources Develop new means to highlight the historic and cultural resources in Upper Northeast, such as improved signage and trails connecting the Fort Circle Parks, organized tours of the area’s religious landmarks, and tours of historic homes in Brookland and other parts of the community. 2409.3
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2409.4 **Policy UNE-1.2.4: Linking Residents to Jobs**

Improve linkages between residents and jobs within Upper Northeast so that more of the area’s 40,000 working-age adults fill the approximately 40,000 jobs located within the Planning Area. Achieve this linkage by developing additional vocational and trade schools within Upper Northeast, such as the streetcar maintenance facility, encouraging apprenticeships and internships, and creating new partnerships between the area’s major employers, the District, the public and charter schools, local churches, and major institutions. 2409.4

2409.5 **Policy UNE-1.2.5: Increasing Economic Opportunity**

Create new opportunities for small, local, and minority businesses within the Planning Area, and additional community equity investment opportunities as development takes place along New York Avenue, Bladensburg Road, Benning Road, West Virginia Avenue, and around the Metro stations. 2409.5

2409.6 **Policy UNE-1.2.6: Connecting to the River**

Recognize the Anacostia River and the land along its banks as an essential and integral part of the Upper Northeast community. Improve the connections between Upper Northeast neighborhoods and the Anacostia River through trail, path, transit, and road improvements, linking the Gallaudet University campus as an institutional open space with the adjacent open spaces to the east, including the Mt. Olivet Cemetery and the National Arboretum, and extending to the Anacostia River and Riverwalk. Opportunities also exist for connections between the Gallaudet campus and the network of open spaces to the west, including the Metropolitan Branch Trail and the Burnham Spine to create a green spine through Northeast DC for bicycle and pedestrian movement from the Mall/Union Station area to the Anacostia River and back down to the Mall along the proposed Anacostia River Walk. Provide amenities and facilities in the planned waterfront parks that meet the needs and promote the resilience of Upper Northeast residents. 2409.6

2409.7 **Policy UNE-1.2.7: Institutional Open Space**

Recognize the particular importance of institutional open space to the character of Upper Northeast, particularly in and around Brookland, Woodridge, and Gallaudet University/Trinidad. Opportunities also exist for connections between the Gallaudet campus and the network of open spaces to the west, including the Metropolitan Branch Trail and the Burnham Spine, which lead to Union Station and the National Mall, to create a green spine through Northeast DC for bicycle and pedestrian movement. In the event that large institutional uses are redeveloped in the future, pursue opportunities to dedicate substantial areas as new neighborhood parks and open spaces. Connections between Upper Northeast open spaces and the network of open space between McMillan Reservoir and Fort Totten also should also be pursued. 2409.7
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See also Land Use Element Policy LU 2.3.7, Section LU 3.2, and the Park and Open Space Element (Section PROS 4) for policies on institutional uses.

2409.8 Policy UNE-1.2.8: Environmental Quality
Improve environmental quality in Upper Northeast, with particular attention given to the reduction of emissions and particulates from trucks and industrial uses in the area. Increase the tree canopy in Ivy City and other areas where tree cover is limited. 2409.8

2409.9 Action UNE-1.2.A: Parkland Acquisition
Address the shortage of parkland in the Planning Area, placing a priority on the areas with the most severe deficiencies. According to the 2006 Parks and Recreation Master Plan, these areas include Edgewood, Ivy City, the Carver/Langston area, and the southwest part of Brookland. 2409.9

2409.10 Action UNE-1.2.B: Hazardous Materials Transport
Continue to advocate lobby for safeguards and restrictions on the transport of hazardous cargo through the Upper Northeast Planning Area, particularly on the rail lines which abut the community’s residential neighborhoods. 2409.10

2409.11 Action UNE-1.2.C: Main Streets/Great Streets
Consider the designation of additional commercial areas as DC Main Streets, including the Woodridge shopping area along Rhode Island Avenue, and portions of Bladensburg Road. Consider adding Rhode Island Avenue to the city’s “Great Streets” program, making it eligible for funding for transportation, streetscape, and façade improvements. 2409.11

2409.12 Action UNE-1.2.D: Arboretum Bridge
Continue to work with NPS on the development of the Arboretum Bridge and Trail Project that will create a pedestrian connection between the Arboretum and Kenilworth Park North on the east side of the Anacostia River. 2409.12
2410.31 This Area Element includes more detailed policy direction for seven specific areas (see Map 24.1 and Table Figure 24.3). Each area requires direction and guidance beyond that provided by the Citywide Elements and the earlier part of this Area Element. These areas include:

- Northeast Gateway, including Capital City Market and Ivy City
- Lower Bladensburg Road/Hechinger Mall
- New York Avenue Corridor and Brentwood
- Upper Bladensburg Road/Fort Lincoln
- Rhode Island Avenue Metro Station Area and Corridor
- Brookland Metro Station Area
- Fort Totten Metro Station Area.

2410.42 Table Figure 24.3: Policy Focus Areas Within and Adjacent to Upper Northeast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Within Upper Northeast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Northeast Gateway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Lower Bladensburg Road/Hechinger Mall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 New York Avenue Corridor and Brentwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Upper Bladensburg Road/Fort Lincoln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Rhode Island Avenue Metro Station Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Brookland Metro Station Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Fort Totten Metro Station Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent to Upper Northeast</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  Armed Forces Retirement Home/Irving Street Hospital Campus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  McMillan Sand Filtration Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Mid-City East (North Capitol St/Florida Ave/New York Ave)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  NoMAa/Northwest One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  H Street/Benning Road (Capitol Hill)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Map 24.1: Upper Northeast Policy Focus Areas
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2411 UNE-2.1 NORTHEAST GATEWAY Northeast Gateway 2411

2411.1 Northeast Gateway includes the neighborhoods of Ivy City and Trinidad, as well as the Capital City Florida Avenue Market, and Gallaudet University, and the West Virginia Avenue Public Works Campus (Carver Terrace, Langston Terrace, Arboretum, and Hechinger Mall are also in the Northeast Gateway area but are addressed in Section UNE-2.2). 2411.1

2411.2 The diverse residents of the Northeast Gateway share a proud heritage as an African American community within sight of the U.S. Capitol building. They benefit from proximity to amenities like the Langston Golf Course, the National Arboretum, and the new NoMa-Gallaudet U Metro station at New York Avenue. However, the community also suffers significantly impacted by the effects of concentrated poverty, community service needs, and affordability concerns underscored by surrounding large-scale development. Trinidad has one of the highest numbers of returning citizens in the District, a poor image, and perceptions of neglect. Some residents perceive their neighborhood as a “dumping ground” for undesirable land uses. Today, they ask for Residents old and new seek the same quality public services and facilities that other residents of the District receive. 2411.2

2411.3 The Capital City Florida Avenue Market (also known as the Union Terminal Market or the Florida Avenue Union Market) is one of the most notable and unique well-known features of the Northeast Gateway area. The market was initially constructed to house businesses displaced from downtown Washington because of federal building expansions by construction of the Federal Triangle. Today, it continues to offer one-stop shopping for wholesalers and restaurant suppliers, selling goods ranging from produce, meats, seeds, and seafood to ethnic specialty foods. While the Market is a one-of-a-kind institution, it has been plagued by code violations and traffic circulation and congestion, environmental, and safety issues experiencing rapid redevelopment and is transforming from a traditional industrial distribution center into a mixed-use neighborhood. This change has put some current businesses at risk for displacement, particularly wholesalers and restaurant suppliers, even as new businesses spring up. Conversely, changes in Northeast Gateway are also advancing other elements of the Comprehensive Plan, as well as the DC Cultural Plan, by enlivening the area through outdoor movie showings and other cultural gatherings. 2411.3

2411.4 In 2005, the District completed a revitalization strategy for the Northeast Gateway to address key planning and development issues. The Strategy addressed five key issues: housing revitalization, commercial revitalization, human capital, public facilities, and community image/public realm. It
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identified four key areas for revitalization, including Bladensburg Road, the Montana/New York/Bladensburg Triangle, the central part of Ivy City, and the Capital City Market. 2411.4

2411.4 A Master Plan for the West Virginia Avenue Public Works Campus, located on the eastern edge of this area, was completed in 2016. The plan focuses on a 19.4-acre District-owned site bordered on the east by Mount Olivet Cemetery and on the northwest by West Virginia Avenue. The site is currently used for a variety of public works activities, including fleet operations, solid waste management, parking enforcement, a tire shop and car wash, and equipment storage. The District intends to consolidate operations from scattered sites to this property, while modernizing the entire complex to be a state-of-the-art public works campus. Nearly 300,000 square feet of new floor space is planned, including 123,000 square feet of new offices and a 165,000-square-foot maintenance facility. 2411.4

2411.5 One of the major themes of the West Virginia Avenue Public Works Campus Master Plan is to improve connections between the campus and surrounding neighborhoods, including streetscape investments, pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements, and a more welcoming street presence along West Virginia Avenue. New amenities such as a park, plaza, and local-serving retail space are planned, providing essential assets to a community that presently lacks public gathering space and parkland. The West Virginia Avenue campus is envisioned as a showcase for sustainability, with renewable energy, water conservation, zero waste, and green building measures used to reduce its environmental footprint. 2411.5

2411.5 Policy UNE-2.1.1: Ivy City Infill Development
Support the development of additional infill housing in Ivy City, including “loft-style” and live-work housing that blends with the industrial character of the neighborhood. Support a range of housing designs that fosters affordability and accommodates a mix of household types, including families. Rehabilitation and renovation of the existing housing stock also should be strongly encouraged. 2411.5

2411.6 Policy UNE-2.1.2: Capital City Florida Avenue Market
Redevelop the Capital City Florida Avenue Market into a regional destination that may include residential, dining, entertainment, office, hotel, maker, and wholesale food uses. The wholesale market and the adjacent DC Farmers Market are important but undervalued historic amenities that should be preserved, upgraded, and more effectively marketed. 2411.6

2411.7 Policy UNE-2.1.3: Consolidating DC District Government Operations
Make more efficient use of the DC District government-owned properties in the Northeast Gateway area, and undertake improvements that make these
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properties a more attractive, integral, and positive part of adjacent neighborhoods including the DC Housing Authority Motor Pool site and the DC school bus parking lot, and the DC Vehicle Maintenance complex. Avoid the further concentration of human service and transportation facilities in this area, and improve buffering between these uses and nearby residential areas.

2411.78

Policy UNE-2.1.4: Northeast Gateway Urban Design Improvements

Improve the image and appearance of the Northeast Gateway area by creating landscaped gateways into the community, creating new parks and open spaces, upgrading key streets as specified in the Northeast Gateway Revitalization Strategy, and improving conditions for pedestrians along Florida Avenue and other neighborhood streets. 2411.89

2411.910

Action UNE-2.1.A: Capital City Florida Avenue Market

Develop and implement plans recommendations in the Florida Avenue Market Small Area Plan for the revitalization and development of the Capital City Florida Avenue Market into a mixed-use residential, and commercial, and wholesale industrial destination, centered around a low-rise core of historic buildings. Implementation of redevelopment plans for the site shall be achieved through a collaborative process that involves the landowners and tenants, the project developers, the District government, and the community. 2411.910

2411.4011

Action UNE-2.1.B: Northeast Gateway Open Space

Develop additional and interconnected public open spaces in the Ivy City and Trinidad areas, including a public green plaza and park on the West Virginia Avenue Public Works Campus, open space on the current site of the DCPS school bus parking lot, and improved open space at the Trinidad Recreation Center and the Crummell School grounds. 2411.4011

2411.4412

Action UNE-2.1.C: Crummell School Reuse

A high priority should be given to the rehabilitation of the historic Crummell School with a mix of uses for community benefit, such as workforce affordable and moderate-income housing, jobs training, or meeting space. Crummell School was built in 1911 and educated African-American Black children from that time until 1972. The structure, which is a designated historic landmark, has been vacant for more than 30 40 years. 2411.4412

2411.13

Action UNE-2.1.D: Transformation of West Virginia Avenue Public Works Campus

Encourage the advancement of the recommendations of the 2014 Ward 5 Works Industrial Land Transformation Study related to the 2015 Department of Public Works Campus Master Plan to transform the District government operations and properties at West Virginia Avenue and Okie...
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Street into a world-class, mixed-use campus that includes public open space, public amenities, and maker/production space. The campus should be a model of sustainable design and public works operations and a catalyst for local community development. 2411.13

2412 UNE-2.2 LOWER BLADENSBURG ROAD/HECHINGER MALL

2412.1 Bladensburg Road extends from the “starburst” intersection at H Street and Benning Road approximately 2.7 miles northeast to the DC District/Maryland border. The road is an important community gateway, providing access to the National Arboretum and residential neighborhoods in Upper Northeast, as well as a commuter route for suburban communities in Prince Georges County. The road contains two distinct segments: the “lower” portion (addressed here) is south of New York Avenue. The “upper” portion (addressed in Section 2.4) is north of New York Avenue and is part of the South Central/Gateway and Fort Lincoln neighborhoods. 2412.1

2412.2 Hechinger Mall anchors the lower end of the Bladensburg corridor. The mall was developed in 1982, in part to help bring retail back to Northeast Washington, DC following the demise period of economic hardship of H Street NE after the unrest in 1968 riots. At one time, the 190,000-square-foot mall had one of the largest stores in the Hechinger chain, but today it serves as a community shopping center anchored by a supermarket, a pharmacy, and several national discount retailers. The adjacent areaBenning Road NE and Bladensburg Road NE are part of the H Street Main Street service area—includes the western end of Benning Road, which is included in the city’s “Great Streets” program. 2412.2

2412.3 The area immediately to the east includes the Langston Terrace and Carver Terrace public housing developments, historic Langston Golf Course, and the Schools on the Hill campus comprised of the former Spingarn Senior High School, Brown Junior High School, and Charles Young Elementary. The area has played an important role in the history of the District’s African-American Black community. Langston Terrace Dwellings was the District’s first public housing complex and was designed by renowned African American architect Hilyard Robinson. When it opened in 1938, prospective residents had to be gainfully employed African American residents with children. The federally-owned Langston Golf Course shares a similar history; when it opened in 1939, it was the only golf course in the city open to African American residents. The nearby 42-acre Schools on the Hill Campus provides a particularly attractive academic setting offers a scenic academic environment above the Anacostia River, and is one of the largest complexes of public school buildings in Washington, DC. 2412.3
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2412.4 The Hechinger Mall and Benning Road corridor is expected to undergo significant change during the next 20 years, driven in part by the revival of northeast Capitol Hill, the H Street corridor, and the Anacostia Waterfront area. Some 500 units of housing are planned at Arboretum Place just north of Hechinger Mall. The Mall itself offers long-term opportunities for redevelopment as a more pedestrian-friendly and urban mixed-use center, with additional square footage and possibly new uses, such as housing. Pedestrian-oriented retail storefronts along Bladensburg Road hold the potential for revival and restoration.

2412.5 Policy UNE-2.2.1: Mixed Use Development Along Benning and Bladensburg

Improve the overall appearance of Benning and Bladensburg Roads in the vicinity of Hechinger Mall. Pursue opportunities for additional pedestrian-oriented, mixed-use development fronting on these streets, including ground floor retail uses and upper floor housing. Housing opportunities should accommodate a mix of incomes, families, and other household units. Such development should be linked to transportation investments along these streets, including the proposed streetcar along H Street/Benning Road NE.

2412.6 Policy UNE-2.2.2: Hechinger Mall

Promote continued reinvestment in Hechinger Mall as a community shopping center. Support additional development on the Hechinger site, creating a more urban and safer pedestrian-oriented character streetscape and adding new uses, such as housing. Housing opportunities should accommodate a mix of incomes, families, and other household units.

2412.7 Policy UNE-2.2.3: Arboretum Gateway

Improve the visual quality of Bladensburg Road and enhance its function as a gateway to the National Arboretum.

2412.8 Policy UNE-2.2.4: Langston and Carver Terrace

Sustain the Langston Terrace and Carver Terrace developments as essential housing resources for lower-income families.
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Langston Terrace should be protected and restored. 2412.8

2412.9  
Policy UNE-2.2.5: Schools on the Hill Campus  
Improve the integration of the Schools on the Hill Campus (former Spingarn, Brown, Phelps, and Young Schools) with the adjacent Carver/Langston neighborhood. 2412.9

2412.9a10  
Policy UNE-2.2.6: Extension of H Street NE Arts District  
Work with area stakeholders to develop a strategy for promoting development of an arts district along the eastern end of Florida Avenue NE by considering linkages with the H Street NE arts and entertainment district and planned development on lower Bladensburg Road. Support of additional development and visual improvements on the corridor. 2412.9a10

2412.10  
Action UNE-2.2.A: Schools on the Hill Campus Planning  
Undertake a planning process to enhance the physical environment of the Schools on the Hill Campus, enabling the campus to function more effectively as a neighborhood resource, a gateway from the neighborhoods of Upper Northeast to the Anacostia River parklands, and an educational complex (“city of learning”) that benefits residents of all ages. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2412.10

2412.11  
Action UNE-2.2.B: Lower Bladensburg Road Development  
As described in the Northeast Gateway Small Area Plan, consider the use of form-based zoning along Bladensburg Road to encourage housing and mixed use development and to discourage additional auto dealerships and automotive uses. Obsolete – See Implementation Table. 2412.11

2412.12  
Action UNE-2.2.C: Reconfiguration of the “Starburst” Intersection  
As recommended by the H Street Small Area Plan, redesign the starburst intersection at Florida Avenue, Benning, Bladensburg, H Street, and Maryland Avenues, and provide a public plaza in the northeastern quadrant of the intersection, adjacent to Hechinger Mall. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2412.12

2412.11  
Action UNE-2.2.A: Crime Prevention  
Implement the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) plans outlined in the Benning Road Corridor Redevelopment Framework. 2412.11

2412.12  
Action UNE-2.2.B: Bladensburg Road Corridor  
Explore a tailored planning effort for the Bladensburg Road corridor that provides analysis and guidance for land use and urban design. 2412.12
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2412.13  
*Action UNE-2.2.C: Langston Golf Course*  
Continue to work with the federal government to transform the Langston Golf Course into an appealing amenity for the surrounding neighborhoods. 2412.13

2412.14  
*Action UNE-2.2.D: Connectivity*  
Leverage the existing streetcar and continue to explore transit options to improve connectivity to RFK Stadium to the south and the Anacostia River to the east. 2412.14

*See the Capitol Hill Area Element for additional information.*

2413  
UNE-2.3 **NEW YORK AVENUE CORRIDOR/BRENTWOOD** New York Avenue Corridor and Brentwood 2413

2413.1  
The New York Avenue corridor/Brentwood area includes the expansive industrial and commercial area on both sides of New York Avenue between Florida Avenue and the Maryland state line. On the north, the corridor abuts the Brentwood and Langdon communities. On the south, it abuts Ivy City and the National Arboretum. In 2001, the Brentwood neighborhood gained notoriety as the site of the US postal sorting facility where anthrax-contaminated mail addressed to two members of the U.S. Senate was handled. Two postal workers died from anthrax exposure, and the 633,000-square-foot facility was closed for more than two years. **Brentwood is home to the 633,000-square-foot U.S. Postal facility.** Other large uses in the area include a Metrorail maintenance facility, the Ivy City railyards, the WMATA Bladensburg Bus Division, and the 725,000-square-foot historic art deco Hecht’s warehouse, now converted to apartments. New York Avenue itself is lined by strip commercial uses, such as hotels, fast food restaurants, and gas stations. 2413.1

2413.2  
The corridor faces land use, transportation, and urban design challenges. Some of the industrial uses are considered obsolete underused by today’s market standards and are being considered for additional new uses, such as retail development. **The physical environment along the New York Avenue corridor is indicative of auto-oriented uses, with tall pole-mounted signs create a cluttered and unattractive image and poorly designed and complex intersection configurations create traffic hazards.** New York Avenue itself is a major commuter corridor and truck route poised for a multimodal transformation carrying far more traffic than it was designed to handle. **In August 2013, the Gateway Wings sculpture was integrated into the New York Avenue Bridge, just east of Florida Avenue. The 50-foot high steel structure, which is illuminated at night, signifies this important entrance to the center of the District.** 2413.2
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2413.3 In 2005, the District’s Department of Transportation completed a multi-modal corridor study for New York Avenue and presented a number of recommendations for consideration. These included adding a tunnel from I-395 to 1st Street NE, building a “signature” bridge across Florida Avenue, reconstructing the Brentwood Avenue bridge, adding a traffic circle at Montana Avenue, and adding a grade-separated traffic circle at Bladensburg Road (through traffic on New York Avenue would pass beneath the circle). The recommendations also include widening the road and adding a landscaped median and turning lanes in selected areas, a linear park on the road’s north side, and significantly upgrading the corridor’s appearance from Bladensburg Road to South Dakota Avenue. The Corridor study included land use recommendations for key sites along New York Avenue, and also recommended architectural guidelines to reinforce the street edge. In 2014 the District’s Department of Transportation completed moveDC, the District’s multimodal long-range transportation plan, which includes multiple recommendations for New York Avenue. The plan recognizes the significant transportation pressures New York Avenue faces from daily commuters and as a primary freight corridor. It recommends improvements to New York Avenue to help meet these needs, including managed lanes from I-395 to the District line, as well as freight capacity improvements. The plan also recognizes that safety enhancements are needed along the corridor at major intersections with North Capitol Street, Florida Avenue, 4th Street, and Bladensburg Road. In addition, the plan suggests building a trail and associated streetscape improvements along New York Avenue from Mt. Vernon Square connecting to the Arboretum, Fort Lincoln, and the Anacostia River. 2413.3

2413.4 Additional land use recommendations for the New York Avenue industrial area are contained in an Industrial Land Use Study commissioned by the Office of Planning in 2005, the 2014 Ward 5 Works Industrial Land Transformation Study. These recommendations include strengthening and enhancing light industrial (production, distribution, and repair) PDR activities along the north side of the avenue between Montana and South Dakota Avenues, retaining the area’s municipal-industrial functions (bus garages, road maintenance facilities, etc.), and considering a transition to the addition of other uses (such as retail) on strategic sites. 2413.4

2413.5 Policy UNE-2.3.1: New York Avenue Corridor

Improve the appearance of New York Avenue as a gateway to the District of Columbia Washington, DC. Support road design changes, and streetscape improvements, and new land uses that improve traffic flow and enhance the road’s operation as a multi-modal corridor that meets both regional and local needs. 2413.5
Policy UNE-2.3.2: Production, Distribution, and Repair Land Uses
Retain a significant concentration of production, distribution, and repair (PDR) land uses in the New York Avenue corridor. While some conversion of industrial land was converted to other uses can be considered on key select sites, including such as the Bladensburg/Montana/New York “triangle,” these changes should not diminish the area’s ability to function as an industrial district meeting the needs of government and District businesses and residents. Retail and office uses have existed historically along both sides of V Street between Bladensburg Road and South Dakota Avenue NE and should continue in accordance with the existing CM– and M–zoning. Mixed-use redevelopment should compliment PDR uses within the building envelope as a primary use when PDR zoned. 2413.86

Policy UNE-2.3.3: Infill Development
Support infill development and redevelopment on underused underutilized commercial sites along New York Avenue. Particularly encourage large-format destination retail development that would provide better access to goods and services for residents, and sales tax dollars for the District. 2413.7

Policy UNE-2.3.4: Consolidate and Formalize Auto-Related Uses
Use zoning, enforcement, and other regulatory mechanisms to reduce the number of illegal auto-related activities on Bladensburg Road. Consistent with the Northeast Gateway Plan, create a more attractive environment for the car dealerships and automotive businesses along Bladensburg Road, possibly including the development of an “auto mall.” Use zoning, enforcement, and other regulatory mechanisms to address nuisance and operational issues of some existing auto-related businesses on Bladensburg Road. Create a more pedestrian friendly environment along Bladensburg Road, possibly placing the dealerships within an enclosed showroom. 2413.8

Action UNE-2.3.4: New York Avenue Traffic Study
Refine the road design recommendations contained in the 2005 New York Avenue Corridor Study and identify capital improvements to carry out these recommendations. A high priority should be given to the redesign of the intersections at Montana Avenue and Bladensburg Road. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2413.9

Action UNE-2.3.5: Brentwood Road Improvements
Implement the recommendations of the Brentwood Road Transportation Study, intended to improve traffic flow, address parking issues, upgrade transit, and provide new pedestrian and bicycle facilities along Brentwood Road. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2413.10

Action UNE-2.3.C: Hecht’s Warehouse
Encourage the reuse of the historic Hecht’s warehouse building as an incubator
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for technology-oriented uses, creative industries, and other activities which help grow the District’s “knowledge economy.” Obsolete – See Implementation Table. 2413.11

2413.12

Action UNE-2.3.D.A: Business Improvement District
Consider the creation of a Business Improvement District (BID) serving the New York Avenue corridor. 2413.12

2414

UNE-2.4 UPPER BLADENSBURG ROAD AND FORT LINCOLN Upper Bladensburg Road/Fort Lincoln 2414

2414.1

The Upper Bladensburg corridor has suffered experienced from disinvestment for many years. Although it continues to support some neighborhood retail activity, it is-While still dominated by automotive repair shops, auto parts shops, car lots, and vacant businesses, there has been some retail activity in recent years, including one of the first craft breweries to open in Upper Northeast. 2414.1

2414.2

The opportunity to improve Upper Bladensburg Road is tied to plans for Fort Lincoln, which is located northeast of the Bladensburg/South Dakota Avenue intersection. Plans to build out the remaining vacant land at Fort Lincoln are now moving forward approved and under construction, with more than 200 three- and four-bedroom townhomes already under two phases of construction completed. Additional townhomes, a shopping center, and offices or distribution facilities should follow in the coming years. The increased population presents an opportunity to revitalize the adjacent Bladensburg corridor, and bring back some of the neighborhood-oriented shopping that disappeared from the corridor years ago. 2414.2

2414.3

Policy UNE-2.4.1: Fort Lincoln New Town
Support the continued development of Fort Lincoln New Town consistent compatible with approved plans for the site. Fort Lincoln should be recognized as an important opportunity for family-oriented, owner-occupied housing, large-scale retail development, and additional employment. 2414.3

2414.4

Policy UNE-2.4.2: Upper Bladensburg Corridor
Support additional neighborhood-serving retail uses along the Upper Bladensburg Road corridor (from South Dakota Avenue to Eastern Avenue). Encourage the gradual transition transformation of this area from an auto-oriented industrial “strip2 to a more pedestrian-oriented retail mixed-use area, providing services to the adjacent Woodridge, South Central, and Fort Lincoln neighborhoods, while retaining PDR uses. 2414.4

2414.5

Action UNE-2.4.A: Streetscape and Façade Improvements
Develop programs to improve the streetscape and commercial facades along Bladensburg Road from Eastern Avenue to South Dakota Avenue. 2414.5
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2414.6  
**Action UNE-2.4.B: South Dakota Avenue Transportation Study**  
Implement the recommendations in the DDOT South Dakota Avenue Transportation study, intended to improve traffic safety, reduce conflicts caused by heavy truck traffic, and reduce speeding. 
Completed – See Implementation Table. 2414.6

2415  
**UNE-2.5 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE-BRENTWOOD METRO STATION AND CORRIDOR**  
Rhode Island Avenue Metro Station 2415

2415.1  
This focus area includes the Metro station vicinity and the 2.7-mile corridor extending from North Capitol Street east to the Maryland line. The Rhode Island Avenue-Brentwood Metro station opened in 1976 and was one of the first stations in the system. Despite the fact that the station is just one mile from Downtown Washington, DC, its current configuration has a suburban feel design. The station is adjoined by one of the largest surface parking lots in the District of Columbia on its southeast, and by an aging shopping center on the northwest. Other uses in the vicinity include the new “big box” retail center on Brentwood Road, light industrial uses, and strip commercial uses on Rhode Island Avenue. 2415.1

2415.2  
Land around the Rhode Island Avenue Metro station is underused, underutilized, and does not provide the but there are development plans and infrastructure investments to create a community focal point it could. The WMATA parking lot presents the most immediate and obvious opportunity for redevelopment was redeveloped into Rhode Island Row, a mixed-use development at the foot of the Metro station, east of the tracks. But over time, additional properties may transition to new uses. Medium- to high-density housing is strongly encouraged in this area, and traffic improvements are recommended to make the station more accessible for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users. Improvements to the Metropolitan Branch Trail are planned through this area have been made through this area, including a pedestrian bridge over the railroad, and there are opportunities for trail-oriented development at the Rhode Island Shopping Center west of the tracks. The Rhode Island Shopping Center offers another opportunity for improved pedestrian connectivity and transit-oriented development. 2415.2

2415.3  
Extending east from the station, Rhode Island Avenue is a wide tree-lined street with well-kept maintained homes and apartments, scattered commercial businesses and churches, and public uses like fire stations and parks. A walkable shopping district between 20th and 24th Streets NE serves as the retail heart of the Woodridge community and the home of the Rhode Island Avenue Main Street. 2415.3
The general character of the Avenue is not expected to change significantly over the next 20 years as infill development occurs near the Metro station and in several locations along the corridor, but there are opportunities for moderate density infill development in several locations. Filling in “gaps” in the street wall would be desirable in the commercial areas, creating a more pedestrian-friendly environment. While most of the street is zoned for commercial uses, development that includes ground-floor retail uses or maker spaces, including space for artists and creatives, and upper-story housing would be desirable. The surrounding area is under-served by retail uses and would benefit from new restaurants, local-serving stores, and other services.

Policy UNE-2.6.4-5.1: Brookland’s 12th Street Corridor
In consultation with property owners, community groups, and residents, use zoning, incentives, and other tools to facilitate mixed-use projects with retail and service uses at street level, and with residential and commercial uses on upper stories. Create productive synergies between 12th Street and planned adjacent economic development projects; assist with connectivity and parking policies; ensure quality project designs; and encourage voluntary preservation of buildings on 12th Street, which is most emblematic of Brookland’s history and character.

Policy UNE-2.5.12: Rhode Island Avenue/Brentwood Metro Station
Encourage the development of additional medium- to high-density mixed-use, pedestrian and multimodal-friendly development around the Rhode Island Avenue Metro station, particularly on the surface parking lots in the station vicinity. Review the Rhode Island properties west of and proximate to the Rhode Island Avenue Metro station for transit connections and appropriate land use recommendations.

Policy UNE-2.5.23: Redevelopment of Older Commercial and Industrial Sites
Encourage the long-term reuse of older commercial and industrial sites in the Rhode Island Avenue Metro station vicinity with higher value mixed uses, including housing and PDR uses. Future mixed-use development should be pedestrian-oriented, with design features that encourage walking to the Metro station and nearby shopping.

Policy UNE-2.5.34: Pedestrian Improvements
Enhance pedestrian connections between the neighborhoods around the Rhode Island Avenue Metro station and the station itself. This should include improvements to the “public realm” along Rhode Island Avenue, with safer pedestrian crossings, street trees, and other amenities that make the street more attractive.
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2415.89 **Policy UNE-2.5.45: Rhode Island Avenue Corridor**

Strengthen the Rhode Island Avenue corridor from 13th to 24th Street NE as a pedestrian-oriented mixed-use district that better meets the needs of residents in the Brentwood, Brookland, Woodridge, and South Central neighborhoods. Infill development that combines ground-floor retail and upper-story office and/or housing should be encouraged, along with retention of historic significant structures and the Main Street character. 2415.89

2415.910 **Action UNE-2.5.A: Rhode Island Avenue Station Area Planning**

Work with WMATA, the local Advisory Neighborhood Commission ANC, local businesses, and the community to encourage plans for the Rhode Island Avenue Metro parking area to enhance the surrounding neighborhoods and address issues such as traffic, parking, and station access. 2415.910

2416 UNE-2.6 BROOKLAND/CUA METRO STATION AREA Brookland Metro Station Area 2416

2416.1 The Brookland–Catholic University of America (CUA) Metro station is located between the Brookland commercial district (12th Street NE) on the east and the Catholic University/Trinity University campuses on the west. The station is abutted by low-density residential uses on the east, and a mix of light industrial, commercial, and institutional uses on the north, south, and west. Despite the presence of the Metro station, much of the vacant land in the station vicinity is zoned for industrial uses and is currently underused. Major property owners include WMATA and Catholic University CUA. 2416.1

2416.2 The Office of Planning is DC Council approved the Brookland-CUA Metro Station Small Area Plan in 2009. The plan scheduled to conduct a comprehensive study of the area surrounding the Metro station during 2006 and 2007, including an assessment of assessed land use and zoning, the retail environment, transit/traffic safety, urban design, cultural tourism, and heritage development. The goal of the study is to guide future development in the station vicinity in a manner that respects the low density scale of the nearby residential area (particularly the area along 10th Street NE and east of 10th Street NE), mitigates parking and traffic impacts, and improves connections to nearby institutions and shopping areas. The plan also laid the groundwork for the vibrant, transit-oriented town center on the west side of the metro station abutting the CUA campus. 2416.2

2416.3 **Policy UNE-2.6.1: Brookland/CUA Metro Station Area**

Encourage moderate density mixed-use development on vacant and underused property in the vicinity of the Brookland–CUA Metro station, including the parking lot east of the station. Special care should be taken to
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protect-preserve the existing low-scale residential uses along and east of 10th Street NE, retain the number of bus bays at the station, and develop strategies to deal with overflow parking and cut-through traffic in the station vicinity. 2416.3

2416.4 Policy UNE-2.6.2: Pedestrian and Bicyclist Access
Improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety and access to the Metropolitan Branch Trail and the Brookland-CUA Metro station, particularly eastward along Monroe Street (linking to the 12th Street NE shopping area) and Michigan Avenue (linking to Catholic University CUA). 2416.4

2416.5 Policy UNE-2.6.3: Long-Term Land Use Changes
Support long-term land use changes on industrially zoned land in the station vicinity, particularly in the area immediately north of Michigan Avenue and in the area to the southwest along 8th Street, consistent with the recommendations in the Brookland-CUA Metro Station Small Area Plan. Consistent with the 2006 Industrial Land Use Study 2014 Ward 5 Works Industrial Land Transformation Study, the industrially zoned areas within a ¼ quarter of a mile of the Metro station may be considered appropriate for long-term transition to more intense uses, including housing, live-work lofts, artists’ studios, and similar uses. 2416.5

2416.6 Action UNE-2.6.A: Brookland-/CUA Metro Small Area Plan
Implement the recommendations of the Brookland/CUA Metro Small Area Plan. Prepare a Small Area Plan for the Brookland Metro station area to provide guidance on the future use of vacant land, buffering of existing development, upgrading of pedestrian connections to Catholic University and 12th Street, urban design and transportation improvements, and the provision of additional open space and community facilities in the area. Ensure that community partners such as Catholic University and CSX are involved in this process. 2416.6

2416.7 Action UNE-2.6.B: Parking Strategy
Develop a strategy for shared parking and implementation of car-sharing programs in new development so that it addresses the area’s transit and pedestrian orientation, and the need for more adequate parking to serve area businesses and residents, and to prevent spillover into the surrounding low-density neighborhoods. 2416.7

2417 UNE 2.7 FORT TOTTEN METRO STATION AREA Fort Totten Metro Station Area 2417

The Fort Totten Station is served by the Metrorail Metro’s Yellow, Green, and Red lines. As the transfer point between two intersecting lines, the station area has strategic importance in plans for the District’s growth. Presently, Fort Totten is adjoined by large surface parking lots, industrial uses, and garden apartments.
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New residential development is taking place east of the station, and several conceptual development projects are under study in different phases of review or construction. The station itself sits within the boundary of the Fort Circle Parks. Fort Totten Park, immediately west of the station, is an important District historic site and contains the remnants of one of the most important Civil War fortifications in the Fort Circle chain. 2417.1

2417.2 The large parcels owned by WMATA, located on the east and west sides of the station, present an opportunity for transit-oriented, mixed-use development. A strong emphasis should be placed on housing and local-serving retail uses on these sites, with an orientation to the station and connecting bus lines. Zoning in the area already permits medium-density, mixed-use development, and the area has been slated for transit-oriented development in the Comprehensive Plan for more than 20 years. 2417.2

2417.3 The “Y intersection” intersection of Riggs Road and South Dakota Avenue is located about a quarter of a mile northeast of the station. The District Department of Transportation (DDOT) is currently redesigning the intersection to improve safety, traffic flow, enhance conditions for pedestrians and vehicles, and make more efficient use of the very large right-of-way. The reconfigured intersection is currently missing basic amenities like sidewalks, curb cuts, and pedestrian signals, making it difficult to navigate on foot. These improvements are being coordinated with the redevelopment of planning for adjacent commercial and residential uses along Riggs Road, including the Riggs Plaza Shopping Center Walmart Supercenter between 3rd and 3rd Street NE and Chillum Place. 2417.3

2417.4 Policy UNE-2.7.1: Fort Totten Metro Station
Encourage the reuse of WMATA-owned land and other underused property in the immediate vicinity of the Fort Totten Metro station, focusing on the area bounded by the Fort Circle Parks on the west and south, Riggs Road on the north, and South Dakota Avenue on the east. This area is envisioned as a “transit village,” combining medium-density housing, ground-floor retail, local-serving office space, new parkland and civic uses, and structured parking. Redevelopment should occur in a way that protects the lower density residences in the nearby Manor South, Michigan Park, and Queens Chapel neighborhoods, and addresses traffic congestion and other development impacts. 2417.4

2417.5 Policy UNE-2.7.2: Traffic Patterns and Pedestrian Safety
Improve pedestrian access to the Fort Totten Metro station, with a particular emphasis on pedestrian and vehicle safety improvements at the South Dakota/Riggs intersection. 2417.5
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2417.6  Policy UNE-2.7.3: Municipal-/Industrial Uses
Retain the established municipal-/industrial land uses located to the south of the Fort Totten station (including the Trash Transfer Station on the west side of the station tracks and salt dome on the east side). Guide future development in the vicinity of these activities in a way that does not impede their ability to function.

2417.7  Action UNE-2.7.A: Fort Totten Small Area-Riggs Road and South Dakota Avenue Area Final Development Plan
Implement the recommendations of the Riggs Road and South Dakota Avenue Area Final Development Plan.

See the Rock Creek East Area Element for additional information.
Prepare an updated study of the Fort Totten/Riggs Road area to more precisely determine the mix of desired land uses; and to address transportation, parking, open space, urban design, and other issues related to the area’s future development. The study area for the Small Area Plan should include Riggs Plaza and the adjacent Riggs/South Dakota intersection.

2417.7

2417.8  Action UNE-2.7.B: Riggs Road/South Dakota Avenue Redesign
Reconstruct the intersection at Riggs Road and South Dakota Avenue to improve pedestrian and vehicular safety. Consider opportunities for new development, parkland, and community facilities on the excess right-of-way. Completed – See Implementation Table.