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2000

OVERVIEW Overview 2000

2000.1 The Mid-City Planning Area encompasses the 3.1 square miles located in the geographic center of the District of Columbia Washington, DC. It extends from Rock Creek Park on the west to the CSX rail corridor on the east. Its southern edge is formed by Florida Avenue NW and U Street NW, and its northern edge is formed by Spring Road NW and Rock Creek Church Road NW. The boundaries are shown in the map at left. Most of this area has historically been in Ward 1, although the easternmost portion is currently part of Ward 5 and the southernmost portion is currently in Ward 2. 2000.1

2000.2 Mid-City is one of the most diverse parts of the city Washington, DC. Although it is one of the smallest of the ten planning areas geographically, it is the most populous and most dense. Much of the area was developed during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, giving it a rich and historic urban character. The area includes row house neighborhoods, such as Adams Morgan, Bloomingdale, Columbia Heights, Eckington, Le-Droit Park, Park View, Pleasant Plains, and Mount Pleasant. It includes large apartment communities along streets such as 14th Street NW, 16th Street NW, and Columbia Road NW. It is also home to several large institutions, such as Howard University, and Howard University Hospital, and the McMillan Sand Filtration Site. 2000.2

2000.3 The Mid-City Planning Area is a cultural melting pot hub, with a strong international flavor. It is the heart of the city’s Latino business community, and the home of some to one of Washington’s historic Black business corridors. It includes the vibrant nightlife and ethnic restaurants of 18th Street NW, and Columbia Road NW. It is also home to several large institutions, such as Howard University, and Howard University Hospital, and the McMillan Sand Filtration Site. The Metropolitan Branch Trail passes through the southeastern portion of the area. This shared-use trail provides new transportation and recreational opportunities for residents, as well as much-needed park space and lively cultural displays at key locations. 2000.3

2000.4 Many Mid-City of the neighborhoods of Mid-City have a strong sense of identity, including the Greater U Street, Mount Pleasant, Le-Droit Park, Bloomingdale, and Striver’s Section and their historic landmarks, such as the True Reformer Building, Meridian Hill/Malcolm X Park, the Lincoln and Howard Theaters, and the Prince Hall Masonic Temple. Activities like Adams Morgan Day and
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2000.4

The DC Funk Parade on U Street NW and the Georgia Avenue Caribbean Festival celebrate local culture and build community pride.

2000.5

The area also has a tradition of neighborhood activism, embodied by groups, such as the Kalorama Citizens Association and the Georgia Avenue Community Development Task Force, and the Cardozo–Shaw Neighborhood Association. Non-profits like the Latino Economic Development Corporation and the Columbia Heights Development Corporation are also active in community affairs, as are cultural organizations like the Gala Hispanic Theater and the African American Civil War Memorial Freedom Foundation.

2000.6

Parts of the Mid-City Planning Area have changed rapidly during the last 10 years. Already one of the densest areas in Washington, DC, Mid-City contains approximately 19 percent of the District’s new housing units, and almost 14 percent of the area’s housing units are affordable. Although Mid-City is close to having a fair amount of affordable housing, most of these units are at risk of expiring; thus, Mid-City will be a target-rich area for investments by the administrators of the Housing Preservation Trust Fund, which is to be used to preserve affordable housing units when their covenants of affordability are expiring. Some 2,000 housing units were added between 2000 and 2005, and about 1,500 units are in some stage of construction today. While this change has been welcomed by some, it has also created concerns about a loss of community identity and the displacement of residents. Homeowners have faced sharp increases in property taxes, and many renters have faced soaring rents and low vacancies. The median sales price of a home in the Columbia Heights ZIP code rose a staggering 63 percent between 2004 and 2005 alone. Clearly, these kinds of increases are not sustainable and over the long run will threaten the diversity that makes the Mid-City neighborhoods so unique.

2000.7

The area’s economic diversity is threatened not only by rising housing costs, but also by the loss of subsidized rental housing. Mid-City includes many subsidized public and lower cost units, including project-based Section 8 apartments, that are at risk of conversion to market rate rents or condominiums. Over the last eight years, the District successfully conserved more than 1,000 units of at-risk affordable housing on the 14th Street corridor alone. The District has also assisted tenants in their efforts to renovate and purchase apartment properties throughout the community, particularly in Columbia Heights. Millions of dollars have been invested to create new affordable housing opportunities for current and future Mid-City residents. This investment must be sustained, perhaps including through the use of the District Opportunity to Purchase Act, which the Housing Preservation Strike Force recommended as an important strategy to preserve affordable housing. It allows the District to purchase properties with at least five rental units, when at least 25% percent of
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the units will remain affordable to persons whose income is below 50\textit{fifty} percent of the median family income (MFI). 2000.7

\textit{Please see the Housing Element for additional information, policies, and actions.}

2000.8 \textbf{Some} Mid-City neighborhoods \textit{still struggle with urban problems such as violent crime, homelessness, drug abuse, vagrancy, and blight are still facing challenging economic and social conditions.} Despite the real estate boom, buildings continue to lie vacant along commercial corridors such as lower Georgia Avenue NW, Florida Avenue NW, and North Capitol Street NW. Public facilities like Cardozo High School and Bruce Monroe Elementary are in desperate need of modernization. The Planning \textit{a}Area also has a severe shortage of parkland. As the densest part of the city District, and one with many young children, recreational needs are among the highest in the city District. Most of the Planning \textit{a}Area’s parks lack the land and amenities to meet these needs. 2000.8

2000.9 \textbf{The 14\textsuperscript{th}} Street NW and U Street NW corridors experienced remarkable change over the last 10 years. Reinvestments made by the District and the private sector reinvigorated the Logan Circle area. The vitality of these two corridors is demonstrated by a mix of dining, retail, residential, entertainment, and cultural offerings. A different set of urban tensions is present along the area’s rapidly developing corridors such as 14th Street and U Street. Revitalization has \textit{increased the need to improve mobility, manage traffic and parking, and assist small businesses}, \textit{brought traffic and parking pressures, caused construction-related street disruptions, and has burdened small businesses trying to keep up with rising costs.} There are also visible threats to the historic integrity of many of the area’s residential structures, particularly in areas like Adams Morgan, Lanier Heights, Reed-Cooke, Park View, Columbia Heights, Bloomingdale, and Eckington, which are outside of designated historic districts. In some instances, row houses are being converted to multi-family flats, demolitions and poorly designed alterations are diminishing an important part of Washington, DC’s architectural heritage. \textit{Revitalization should be offset by long-standing residents being displaced from their historic homes, even as some persons benefit from the tremendous rise in property values.} 2000.9

2000.10 \textbf{Mid-City also has expanded opportunities to enhance the resilience of its neighborhoods. The area has experienced significant flooding, particularly in the neighborhoods of Bloomingdale and LeDroit Park, due to the limited capacity of the existing stormwater management systems. The projected increase in frequency and severity of rainfall events elevates the risk for these neighborhoods. Ongoing efforts to expand the capacity of the
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stormwater system in the area, including DC Water’s Northeast Boundary Tunnel and interim McMillan Stormwater Storage Project, will significantly reduce this risk, but not for the most extreme events. 2000.10

Please see Infrastructure and Environmental Protection Elements for more information.

2000.11 In 2016, the District Department of Transportation (DDOT) undertook the Cross-Town Multimodal Transportation Study to identify improvements along the east-west connections that traverse Wards 1 and 5, address safety concerns, optimize mobility and operations, and improve efficiency for all modes along the corridor. Recommended improvements in the study include transit priority treatments along Irving Street NW and Columbia Road NW and a new bicycle facility along Kenyon Street NW that would connect to a multiuse trail, Washington Hospital Center, and adjacent institutions. The study also recommends rationalizing the access ramps west of the hospitals into a grid of streets, which would eliminate redundant turning movements, improve pedestrian crossing visibility, create new sidewalk connections, and simplify movements for all modes. The reconfiguration of land created by this new street grid will require additional planning analysis in the years to come, as it presents an opportunity for both new housing and for new parks or other open space. 2000.11

2000.12 The issues described above must should be addressed to protect preserve the quality of life, balance growth and conservation, and provide economic opportunity and stability for all members of the community. 2000.12

2001 HISTORY History 2001

2001.1 Urban development in the Mid-City area began in the early 19th century. Some of the city’s Washington, DC’s earliest first mansions were constructed on the high ground above the L’Enfant city, including the such as David Porter’s long-demolished Meridian Hill house, now the site of Mansion (later to become Meridian Hill/Malcolm X Park,) and the similarly lost mansion belonging to Joseph Gales, whose land was later subdivided into the residential neighborhood of Eckington. Unlike these estates, others such as Ingleside and Howard Hall still survive and are integral to the neighborhoods that were built around them. Holmead Estate (later subdivided as Mount Pleasant). Howard Hall, the home of General Oliver Otis Howard built after the Civil War, still survives as part of the Howard University campus, which Howard helped to establish in 1867. Howard University joined the already established The Columbian College (which would eventually become later named George Washington University), which
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was founded on Meridian Hill in 1822. Howard University was established 45 years later, in 1867. Still, much of the area remained rural until the late 19th century. 2001.1

2001.2 The White-Meyer House was designed by renowned architect John Russell Pope, who designed the Jefferson Memorial, the National Gallery of Art (West Building), and the National Archives. An extensive renovation of the White-Meyer House, which was completed in 1988, won an American Institute of Architects award for excellence. The White-Meyer House, at 1624 Crescent Place NW, has been home to two of the most well-known Washington, DC families. The property was purchased in 1910 by distinguished American diplomat Henry White, who had been Ambassador to Italy and France. The red brick Georgian home was completed in 1912 at a total cost of $155,497. 2001.2

2001.3 When Henry White died in 1927, the property passed to his son, John Campbell White. Eugene Meyer, who subsequently became owner of The Washington Post, rented the house for several years before purchasing it in 1934. The Meyers, including Katharine Graham, spent their teenage years in the house. Prominent guests included Eleanor Roosevelt, Adlai Stevenson, Thomas Mann, Earl Warren, and John and Robert Kennedy. After the Meyers’ deaths, the house became the property of the Eugene and Agnes E. Meyer Foundation and was leased for use by the Antioch Law School Library. In 1987, it was purchased by Meridian International Center. 2001.3

2001.4 Urban development in the Mid-City area began in the early 19th century, with transportation infrastructure dating back to the Civil War. Horse-drawn streetcars, established during the Civil War, ran up and down what is now 7th and 14th Streets NW, connecting the area’s small hamlets, estates, and farms to the center city Washington, DC’s center. One streetcar line—the Metropolitan Railway, established in 1864—was deliberately built to entice residents to move to Mount Pleasant, one of the first suburbs carved out of the Ingleside estate. 2001.4

2001.25 The Mid-City’s development boom was tied to the growth of the city’s Washington, DC’s population and transportation system. In 1888, the introduction of the electric streetcar enabled several of the formerly horse-drawn streetcar lines to be extended north from Washington, DC’s center in the late 1880s and early 1890s, including the District’s first electric streetcar line—the Eckington and Soldiers Home streetcar—as well as lines along 7th Street NW and 14th Street NW. Commercial uses developed along these routes, a pattern that persists to this day. By the turn of the century, streetcars had been extended along Florida
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Avenue NW, U Street NW, 11th Street NW, 18th Street NW, Calvert Street NW, 11th Street, and beyond to the District’s border with Montgomery County, Maryland. Formerly rural lands were subdivided and platted into residential neighborhoods, including Bloomingdale, Eckington, out to LeDroit Park and beyond. Residential development was extensive, and neighborhoods like Adams Morgan, Columbia Heights, Washington Heights, Lanier Heights, and other subdivisions making up present-day Adams Morgan, Kalorama, Bloomingdale, and Eckington These neighborhoods emerged as the city’s first generation Washington, DC’s first suburbs, followed by Mount Pleasant and LeDroit Park. 2001.25

2001.36 Many of the Mid-City neighborhoods were quite prestigious sought after. Located above the Potomac escarpment, places like Mount Pleasant and Columbia Heights had healthier climates and cooler summertime weather than the old city below the lower portions of the District. Elegant apartment buildings and embassies were developed along 16th Street NW, where commercial uses were not permitted in order to preserve the street’s character as the formal gateway to the White House. To the east, neighborhoods like Pleasant Plains, LeDroit Park, and Columbia Heights became home to a growing community of upwardly mobile African Americans—higher-income Black residents. Howard University emerged as one of the country’s leading African-American Black colleges and a seat of learning for Black scholars and professors. U Street NW thrived as the city’s Washington, DC’s “Black Broadway,” and a cultural legacy of music, art, and theater was born. 2001.36

2001.47 By 1930, the area’s initial development was essentially complete. Population continued to grow, and the area continued to develop with apartment buildings and denser housing. Residents were encouraged to take in boarders during the war years, and some of the larger row houses were converted into multi-family buildings and rooming houses. 2001.47

2001.58 With the end of World War II in 1945 and desegregation of schools in 1954, conditions in the Mid-City neighborhoods began to change. Racial change accelerated in the 1950s and urban renewal created disruption in neighborhoods like Shaw and Eckington. Middle-class black and white households began to leave the Mid-City area, leaving behind a growing population of lower-income households. In the 1950s, urban renewal disrupted an already diversifying middle-class neighborhood. Moderate-income households began to leave the Mid-City area, leaving behind a growing population of lower-income households. The area’s future was further jeopardized by the proposed Inner Loop Freeway in the 1950s. Had the freeway been built, much of the Adams Morgan and U Street neighborhoods would have been destroyed. 2001.58
Mid-City was particularly hard hit by the unrest in 1968 riots. Many buildings along 14th and U Streets NW were burned and the psyche of the community was devastated, which had a significant economic impact on the business community along these two corridors. Reinvestment and recovery were slow. Urban renewal plans for Shaw and 14th Streets NW brought large numbers of subsidized apartments public housing units in the 1970s, but many of the commercial businesses never reopened.

While parts of the area continued to decline during the 1980s and 1990s, other areas began experiencing a renaissance. During the 1980’s and 1990’s, parts of the community were experiencing economic challenges. However, by the 1990’s, Adams Morgan had gained a reputation as one of the city’s Washington, DC’s most colorful unique neighborhoods, and many of its homes were restored and upgraded. Loft and condominium construction and residential rehabilitation continues in the neighborhood today.

During the 1980s and 1990s, an influx of residents from Latin America began to transform communities like Columbia Heights and Mount Pleasant. The transformation continued during the early 2000s following the opening of the Columbia Heights Metro station. A 500,000-square-foot commercial center known as DC USA—the largest retail construction project in the city at the time—will soon rise beside was developed at the station and became a centerpiece for the revitalization of Columbia Heights. Development projects like the Louis, Harrison Square, the Lincoln Condominiums, Shaw, and The Ellington Plaza have brought hundreds of new residents to the U Street NW area. Elsewhere in the Mid-City, vacant homes are being rehabbed throughout Shaw, LeDroit Park, Eckington, Bloomingdale, Park View, and Pleasant Plains.

Unlike some changing neighborhoods in the District, Columbia Heights has not become homogeneous: White, Asian, Black, and Hispanic/Latino residents each make up at least 10 percent of the population—and no group constitutes a majority. Housing includes high-priced condominiums and townhouses, as well as public and middle-income housing and even multimillion-dollar homes. The neighborhood includes several public schools, including nine public charter schools. The neighborhood has dozens of new restaurants, shops, and nightlife.
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employment, District and federal land ownership, parks, roads, and water bodies. They are not comparable to the statistics included in the 2006 Comprehensive Plan, which were based on a much simpler method. Even large differences between the older and newer statistics may reflect differences in the modeling approaches and not actual changes in land use. 2002.1

2002.3 The area is primarily residential, with row houses being the predominant house type. Only about two percent of the residential area contains single-family detached housing, whereas more than 70 percent contains row houses. The remainder of the residential land, totaling almost 200 acres, consists of apartments. Parts of the Mid-City Planning Area contain row houses, flats, and high-rise apartments on the same block. This pattern has been perpetuated in part by high-density zoning, a vestige of a time when the older housing in the area was thought to be obsolete and in need of replacement. 2002.3

2002.4 The commercial areas of Mid-City tend to be laid out along neighborhood shopping streets and are frequently intermixed with housing. Major commercial areas include 18th Street NW, Columbia Road NW, 14th Street NW, Mount Pleasant Street NW, U Street NW, 7th Street NW/Georgia Avenue NW, and North Capitol Street NW. There is little space for parking or loading in these business districts, and residential neighborhoods often lie immediately adjacent. Commercial and mixed land uses amount to seven approximately five percent of the total land area, which is a larger percentage than is found in most of the city’s District’s Planning Areas. About one percent of the land is used for industry, most on the area’s eastern edge along the CSX tracks. While the area is generally well served by commercial uses, neighborhoods on the east side lack the variety of services available on the west side. 2002.4
Parks and open spaces occupy just seven almost nine percent of the land area in Mid-City, and most of this acreage is associated with Rock Creek Park. The remaining parks in the area are small and are very heavily used. Other public uses in the Planning Area include schools, libraries, community centers, and fire stations. These represent about three percent of the total area. Institutional uses consist primarily of Howard University and Howard Hospital and comprise seven percent of the land area. 2002.56

About two one percent of the Mid-City Planning Area, or about 36 acres, consists of vacant land. Much of this land is committed to future development projects, such as the McMillan Sand Filtration Site and the Howard Town Center. Although there are scattered vacant lots, the area is almost completely built-out. 2002.67

DEMOGRAPHICS Demographics 2003

Basic demographic data for Mid-City is shown in Table Figure 20.2. In 2000 2017, the area had a population of 96,489, 81,375 or about 14 percent of the
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The Mid-City Area Element, Proposed

Between 2000 and 2017, the Mid-City Planning Area experienced a shift in population characteristics. In 2000, Black residents were Mid-City’s predominant racial group at 52 percent, and the Hispanic/Latino population was 22 percent. By 2017, the Black population decreased to 31 percent of the total population, the White population increased from 27 percent to 52 percent of the total population, and approximately 18 percent of the population was Hispanic/Latino.

Population is estimated to have increased to 83,100 as of 2005, largely due to new housing construction. Opposing trends are affecting household size; on the one hand, most of the new construction has consisted of one and two bedroom apartments and condominiums, with small households. On the other hand, the influx of immigrants has brought larger families to the area, often crowding into existing housing units. Current household size is 2.20, which is higher than the citywide average of 2.14. This is likely to drop in the future, as the percentage of multi-family units increases.

African Americans are the predominant racial group in the Planning Area, at approximately 52 percent. A growing Latino population stands at 22 percent, approximately three times the City’s average. Between 1990 and 2000, the Latino population increased by about 30 percent. More than one-quarter of the Mid-City’s residents are foreign-born, double the citywide average of 12.8 percent. About 27 percent of the population is non-Hispanic white, and about three percent are Asian or Pacific Islander.
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### 2003.3 Table Figure 20.2 Mid-City At a Glance 2003.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Statistics and Projections</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2045</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>81,300</td>
<td>84,452</td>
<td>96,489</td>
<td>111,083</td>
<td>123,129</td>
<td>134,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>33,979</td>
<td>38,286</td>
<td>40,497</td>
<td>47,313</td>
<td>50,142</td>
<td>52,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Population</td>
<td>75,985</td>
<td>79,142</td>
<td>90,585</td>
<td>103,048</td>
<td>114,888</td>
<td>125,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons Per Household</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>28,012</td>
<td>29,164</td>
<td>30,562</td>
<td>32,656</td>
<td>35,204</td>
<td>37,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (persons per sq mile)</td>
<td>26,226</td>
<td>27,243</td>
<td>31,125</td>
<td>35,833</td>
<td>39,719</td>
<td>43,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Area (square miles)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2000 and 2017 Census Data Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>15,125</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>11,942</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>59,426</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>76,653</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>30,604</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>43,176</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-64</td>
<td>28,821</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>33,476</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>6,749</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>7,895</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residents Below Poverty Level</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18,146</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>11,533</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial Composition</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>22,224</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>50,291</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>42,385</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>30,109</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>2,358</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4,393</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9,891</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
<td>8,316</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>4,115</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>3,272</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hispanic Origin</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18,246</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>17,717</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign-Born Residents</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21,166</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>19,829</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Households</td>
<td>10,671</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>15,479</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Households</td>
<td>23,462</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>25,018</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Occupancy</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupied Units</td>
<td>34,132</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
<td>40,497</td>
<td>91.9%</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Units</td>
<td>3,929</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>3,576</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing by Unit Type</th>
<th>Total 2000</th>
<th>Percentage 2000</th>
<th>Total 2017*</th>
<th>Percentage 2017*</th>
<th>Citywide 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-unit, detached</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-unit, attached</td>
<td>10,798</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>10,694</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4 units</td>
<td>3,620</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>5,193</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9 units</td>
<td>2,203</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>2,376</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19 units</td>
<td>3,585</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>4,446</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>16,782</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>20,047</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile/other</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The age profile of Mid-City is different than the District, as there were fewer residents under the age of 18 and over the age of 65 in 2017. Relative to the city as a whole, the area has lower percentages of children and seniors. About 12 percent of the residents were under 18, compared to a citywide average District-wide total of 20-18 percent. Over eight percent were over 65, compared to the citywide average District-wide total of 12 percent. The majority of residents are between the ages of 18 and 64, with approximately 45 percent between 18 and 34 years of age.

Housing Characteristics

The 2000 Census reported that 28 percent of the area’s housing stock consisted of single-family attached homes (row houses and townhouses), while 44 percent consisted of apartments in multi-family buildings of 20 units or more. These are higher than the percentages for the city as a whole. Less than three percent of the homes in Mid-City were single-family detached homes, significantly lower than the 13 percent for the city District as a whole. In 2000, 10 percent of the housing units in Mid-City were vacant, compared to 8.1 percent in 2017, indicating a tight housing market. This characteristic is consistent with the increase in the number of housing units and the population growth during this time period.

Income and Employment

Data from the District Department of Employment Services (DOES) and the Office of Planning (OP) indicates there were about 30,562 jobs in Mid-City in 2005. Major employers included Howard University and Howard Hospital, District government and public schools, and numerous retail businesses and services. District residents fill only about 44 percent of the area’s jobs. Based on 2000 Census journey to work data, 40 percent of the jobs in the Planning Area are filled by residents of Maryland, and about 14 percent by residents of Virginia.

There were approximately 38,000 employed residents in the Mid-City area in 2000. As of the 2000 Census, median household income in the Planning Area was $36,777, compared to a citywide District-wide median of $45,927.
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2017, the median household income increased greatly to $94,939, which is higher than the District-wide median income of $77,649. About nine percent of the Mid-City’s employed residents worked within the Planning Area, 36 percent commuted to Central Washington, 30 percent commuted elsewhere in Washington, and 25 percent commuted to jobs outside of the District. More than 40 percent of the area’s residents used transit to get to work, and about 17 percent walked or bicycled. 2005.2

2006 PROJECTIONS Projections 2006

2006.1 Based on approved development projects, local planning policies, and regional growth trends, Mid-City is projected to add nearly 12,000 households between 2017 and 2045, 6,400 households during the next 20 years. The population is expected to increase from 96,489 to 134,101 by 2045, by 16 percent, reaching about 96,500 in 2025. Much of the growth in the Mid-City is expected to consist of moderate- to medium-density housing, particularly along 14th Street NW and Georgia Avenue NW, on land west of Howard University, and around the Metro stations at Shaw and Columbia Heights. Growth is also expected on the far eastern edge of the Planning Area, along Florida Avenue NW and North Capitol Streets NW. 2006.1

2006.2 The number of jobs is expected to increase by about over 7,000, 5,000, to about 33,000 from 30,562 jobs in 2017 to 37,517 jobs in 2025-2045. Most of the increase is associated with development around the Columbia Heights Metro station, the Howard Town Center on Georgia Avenue NW, and the New York Avenue Metro station on the area’s southeastern edge. 2006.2

2007 Planning and Development Priorities 2007

2007.1 This section summarizes the opportunities and challenges residents and stakeholders prioritized during the 2006 Comprehensive Plan revision. During large community workshops, residents shared their feedback on District-wide and neighborhood specific issues. Since the 2006 community workshops, however, some of the challenges and opportunities facing the community have evolved. The following summary does not reflect new community priorities or feedback from either amendment cycle but summarizes the most important issues during the 2006 Comprehensive Plan revision. 2007.1

2007.2 Three Comprehensive Plan workshops took place in Mid-City during 2005 and 2006. These meetings provided an opportunity for residents to discuss both city and District-wide and neighborhood planning issues. The Advisory Neighborhood Commissions (ANCs) provided an important voice in this discussion, particularly on the Future Land Use Map. There have also been
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many meetings in the community not directly connected to the Comprehensive Plan, but relating to other planning topics. These meetings have covered topics such as the public realm and transportation improvements in Columbia Heights, revitalization of Georgia Avenue NW, redevelopment of McMillan Reservoir, parking and traffic issues in Adams Morgan, and the arts districts along U Street NW and in the greater Shaw area. 2007.42

The community delivered several key messages during these meetings, summarized below: 2007.23

- a. The distinct and eclectic unique character that defines Mid-City neighborhoods should be protected preserved as infill development takes place. The communities of the Mid-City welcome community reinvestment, but are worried that the rapid pace of redevelopment may be changing the fabric of the community too quickly. The loss of neighborhood diversity was the greatest concern expressed at almost every Comprehensive Plan meeting in the Mid-City area, and was raised in many different contexts—from the need for affordable housing to concerns about the influx of chain stores and decline loss of neighborhood businesses.

- b. Housing opportunities should be increased for people at all income levels so that Mid-City can remain a diverse neighborhood. The cityDistrict-wide run-up rise in housing prices has particularly impacted Mid-City, as costs have soared beyond what many local residents can afford. Working Moderate-income families and lower-income residents are being priced out of the area, and there are concerns that the community is becoming affordable only to upper persons with high-incomes professionals. Preserving the existing stock of affordable units is important, either through rehabilitation or replacement of subsidized housing projects existing units with new affordable units. The type of new housing being built in the area should be more varied. In particular, more three- and four-bedroom units are needed to attract and retain families.

- e. New condominiums, apartments and commercial development should be directed to the areas that are best able to handle increased density, namely areas immediately adjacent to Metrorail stations or along high-volume transit corridors. These areas are generally located around 14th and Park Streets NW, along the 14th Street NW corridor, along U Street NW—especially around the Metro station, along 7th Street NW and Georgia Avenue NW—especially west of Howard University, and in the southeastern corner of the Planning Area near the New York Avenue Metro station. Mixed-use development, with multi-story housing above retail shops and services, is desirable in these locations and would reinforce the Mid-City’s character as a vital, pedestrian-oriented neighborhood.
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• **d.** The row house fabric that defines neighborhoods like Adams Morgan, Columbia Heights, Pleasant Plains, Eckington, and Bloomingdale should be conserved. Although Mid-City includes six historic districts (Greater U Street, LeDroit Park, Mount Pleasant, Strivers’ Section, Washington Heights, and Kalorama Triangle), most of the row houses in Mid-City are not protected by historic district designations. Some are even zoned for high-density apartments.

• **e.** A variety of problems have resulted, including demolition and replacement with much larger buildings, the subdivision of row houses into multi-unit flats, and top story additions that disrupt architectural balance. Intact blocks of well-kept row houses should be zoned for row houses, and not for tall apartment buildings, and additional historic districts and/or conservation districts should be considered to protect architectural character.

• **f.** The community is in dire need of additional parkland. Mid-City is the densest part of the city of Washington, DC, but the ratio of park acreage per resident is among the lowest in the city District. Rock Creek Park is a great resource, but is a long way from the eastern part of the Planning Area and is primarily a passive open space. The Planning Area has a shortage of active play fields and recreational facilities, especially east of 16th Street NW. In many cases, schools are the only open spaces in the neighborhood, but access to school grounds may be restricted, and the school facilities themselves are suboptimal in need of improvement. Sites like such as the McMillan Reservoir Sand Filtration site offer the promise for additional neighborhood open space. New development there and elsewhere should set aside land for parks, while development along the area’s commercial streets and around Metro stations should include pocket parks and plazas. Throughout the community, innovative approaches such as land trusts and easements should be considered to improve open space access.

• **g.** Language barriers should be broken so that more foreign-born residents can get a proper education, find suitable housing, find a decent job, and participate in community life and civic affairs. With a growing population of immigrants and non-English speaking residents and English language learners, the Planning Area needs alternative education options and better access to literacy and language programs. If residents are to fill the good quality jobs to be created in the new economy, better vocational training and bilingual services are needed. Local public schools, charter schools, universities, and non-profits should be integral partners in these efforts.

• **h.** The arts should be recognized as an essential part of community life. While this is true in all parts of Washington, DC, it is especially true in the Mid-City’s multi-cultural neighborhoods of the Mid-City. The
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Planning Area has been the home of many ethnic and racial groups for more than 100 years, and has long been a center of creative expression and cultural diversity. The area should celebrate its past through heritage trails and historic exhibits, and celebrate its present through indoor and outdoor performance, art, and music. New cultural facilities must also be part of the area’s future.

• i. Better economic balance should be achieved in the neighborhood. The neighborhood centers on the west side of the Mid-City Planning Area are generally successful vibrant, with strong demand for commercial space. Neighborhood business districts on the east side, particularly along Georgia Avenue NW and North Capitol Street NW, are still struggling facing challenges. There are numerous vacant and boarded up properties, along with concerns about fire safety, blight underused and abandoned properties, and crime. Commercial gentrification change is also an issue. Small corner stores and other businesses that are unique to the neighborhood are having a harder time getting by. The area’s restaurants, ethnic establishments, and iconic neighborhood businesses are an important part of what defines this community. They should be strongly supported in the future.

• j. Pedestrian safety, improved traffic operations, and parking management are all high priorities. Increased density within this already dense Planning Area creates busier streets—both for cars and for people. Despite its proximity to a Metro station, Columbia Heights will become more congested as 700 new housing units and 500,000 square feet of new retail space come on line are opened. Parking demand will continue to exceed supply in Adams Morgan and Mount Pleasant. Commuter traffic along North Capitol Street NW and Florida Avenue NW will continue to burden side streets in Eckington and Bloomingdale. New solutions and strategies to traffic management are needed. Increasing transit service and improving pedestrian safety are important parts of the equation, but they must not be the only parts.

• k. Public facilities in Mid-City need improvement. Many of the area’s schools, libraries, and recreation centers are outdated and do not meet the needs of the community. At the same time, residents are concerned about proposals to use private development to leverage public facility replacement. A key concern is that public facilities are not rebuilt at the expense of neighborhood open space, which is already in very short supply. While Mid-City has several outstanding new facilities, including the Girard Street Playground, the Columbia Heights Community Center, and Bell-Lincoln Multicultural Middle/High School, there are still unmet needs.

• l. Mid-City needs “greening.” This Planning Area has a very high percentage of impervious surface coverage and lost much of its tree
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cover during the 1970s, 80s, and 90s. Tree planting is needed to reduce urban runoff, create shade, remove air pollutants, and create beauty in the neighborhoods. Future development should incorporate green roofs and other methods to reduce resource consumption, conserve energy and water, and be more environmentally-friendly. 2007.

2008 MC-1.1 GUIDING GROWTH AND NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION Guiding Growth and Neighborhood Conservation 2008

2008.1 The following general policies and actions should guide growth and neighborhood conservation decisions in the Mid-City Planning Area. These policies and actions should be considered in tandem with those in the Citywide Elements of the Comprehensive Plan. Policies from existing Small Area Plans (Georgia Avenue, DUKE, Mid-City East, Mount Pleasant), and Revitalization/Technical Studies (Georgia Avenue, Columbia Heights, Uptown, etc.), and Vision Frameworks (Adams Morgan) are referenced in Section MC-2 2008.1

2008.2 Policy MC-1.1.1: Neighborhood Conservation
Retain and reinforce the historic character of Mid-City neighborhoods, particularly its row houses, older apartment houses, historic districts, and walkable neighborhood shopping districts. The Planning Area’s squares, alleys, and historic alley buildings offer opportunities for preservation and creative development. The area’s rich architectural heritage and cultural history should be protected and enhanced. 2008.2

2008.3 Policy MC-1.1.2: Directing Growth
Stimulate high-quality, transit-oriented development around the Columbia Heights, Shaw/Howard University, and U St./African American Civil War Memorial/Cardozo Metro station areas, as well as along the Georgia Avenue NW corridor and the North Capitol Street NW/Florida Avenue NW business district. Opportunities for new mixed-income housing developments that provide a greater mix of affordability as a result of a rezoning effort, neighborhood retail, local-serving offices, and community services should be supported in these areas, as shown on the Comprehensive Plan Policy Map and Future Land Use Map. 2008.3

2008.4 Policy MC-1.1.3: Infill and Rehabilitation
Encourage redevelopment of vacant lots and the rehabilitation of abandoned structures within the community, particularly along Georgia Avenue, NW, Florida Avenue NW, 11th Street NW, and North Capitol Street NW, and in the Shaw, Bloomingdale, and Eckington communities. Similarly, encourage the redevelopment of vacant lots and the rehabilitation of vacant buildings located at the interiors of the Planning Area’s squares. Infill development
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should be compatible in scale and character with adjacent uses and encourage more housing opportunities. 2008.4

2008.5  
Policy MC-1.1.4: Local Services and Small Businesses  
Protect Support the small businesses and essential local services that serve Mid-City. Encourage the establishment of new businesses that provide these services in areas where they are lacking, especially on the east side of the Planning Area. Support local services, small businesses, and their surrounding corridors using Main Streets, business improvement districts (BIDs), and Department of Small and Local Business (DSLBD) clean teams. 2008.5

2008.6  
Policy MC-1.1.5: Conservation of Row House Neighborhoods  
Recognize the value and importance of Mid-City’s row house neighborhoods as an essential part of the fabric of the local community. Ensure that the Comprehensive Plan and zoning designations for these neighborhoods reflect the desire to retain the row house pattern. Land use controls should discourage the subdivision of single-family row houses into multi-unit apartment buildings, but should encourage the use of English basements as separate dwelling units, in order to retain and increase the rental housing supply. 2008.6

2008.7  
Policy MC-1.1.6: Mixed-Use Districts  
Encourage preservation of the housing located within Mid-City’s commercially zoned areas. Within mixed-use (commercial/residential) areas, such as Mount Pleasant Street NW and Columbia Road NW, encourage commercial uses that do not adversely impact the established residential uses. 2008.7

2008.8  
Policy MC-1.1.7: Protection Preservation of Affordable Housing  
Strive to retain the character of Mid-City as a mixed-income community by protecting preserving the area’s existing stock of affordable housing units and promoting the construction of new affordable units. Give attention to the most rapidly changing neighborhoods and encourage the use of historic preservation tax credits to rehabilitate older buildings for affordable housing. 2008.8

2008.9  
Policy MC-1.1.8: Traffic and Parking Management Multimodal Connections  
Improve traffic circulation along major Mid-City arterial streets, with a priority on 14th Street NW, Georgia Avenue NW, U Street NW, 16th Street NW, Rhode Island Avenue NW, 18th Street NW, Columbia Road NW, North Capitol Street NW, and Connecticut Avenue NW, North Capitol Street, New York Avenue NW, and Florida Avenue NW. Implement programs in these areas to improve circulation service, improve pedestrian and bicyclist safety and ease of travel, and mitigate the effects of increased traffic on residential streets. Consistent with the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan,
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provide alternatives to automobile use—including improved transit and innovative personal transportation options—for existing and new residents to reduce the need for necessity of auto ownership, particularly where parking and traffic problems exist. 2008.9

2008.10  **Policy MC-1.1.9: Metropolitan Branch Trail**
Prioritize safety and access improvements along the trail, including east-west pedestrian and bicycle connections, to allow trail users to get to and from adjoining neighborhoods safely. Create shaded areas along the trail to implement sustainable practices and improve the user experience. In addition, some sites have the potential to foster trail-oriented development that could bring more users to the trail, provide desired amenities, and build community for new and existing residents. Encourage trail-oriented development to include makers, artists, and local entrepreneurs. 2008.10

See the Transportation Element for additional policies on reducing auto dependence.

2008.101  **Policy MC-1.1.910: Transit Improvements**
Improve public transit throughout the Mid-City Planning Area, with an emphasis on shorter headways with improved efficiency and reliability on the north-south bus routes, additional east-west and cross-park bus routes, and more frequent and extended Metrorail service. Continue assistance programs for the area’s transit-dependent groups, including the elderly, older adults, students, and disabled persons with disabilities. 2008.101

2008.12  **Policy MC-1.1.11: Stormwater Management for Interior Flooding**
Improve existing stormwater management systems to reduce the risk of interior flooding in Mid-City from extreme rainfall events. This should include gray and green infrastructure measures that improve drainage and reduce impervious surface coverage, especially for Bloomingdale and LeDroit Park. When feasible, stormwater projects should include expanding parks, green space, and recreational opportunities for the area. 2008.12

*Please see the Infrastructure and Environmental Protection Elements for additional information.*

2008.13  **Policy MC-1.1.12: Green Development Practices**
Encourage capital improvement or development projects in Mid-City to eliminate surface water runoff from sites through green roofs, rain gardens, cisterns, pervious pavement, bioretention cells, and other reuse or
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filtration methods. Support could include financial or other incentives. 2008.13

2008.11 Action MC-1.1.A: Rezoning Of Row House Blocks
Selectively rezone well established residential areas where the current zoning allows densities that are well beyond the existing development pattern. The emphasis should be on row house neighborhoods that are presently zoned R-5-B or higher, which include the areas between 14th and 16th Streets NW, parts of Adams Morgan, areas between S and U Streets NW, and sections of Florida Avenue, Calvert Street, and 16th Street. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2008.11

Identify the potential for regulatory controls to address the problem of excessive concentrations of liquor-licensed establishments within the neighborhood commercial districts, particularly on 18th Street and Columbia Road. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2008.12

2008.134 Action MC-1.1.CA: Transit-Multimodal Improvements
Support the development of a fully integrated bus, streetcar, subway transit, bicycle, and pedestrian system within the Planning Area by moving forward with plans for expanded service on the Metrorail Green Line, extension of the Metrorail Yellow Line, and bus rapid high-capacity transit on Georgia Avenue NW, and dedicated rush hour bus lanes along 16th Street NW. 2008.134

2008.145 Action MC-1.1.DB: Off-Street Parking
Support the development management of off-street parking facilities parking capacity in the Columbia Heights, Adams Morgan, and U Street Mid-City commercial districts, and including the implementation of parking management programs that maximize the use of existing parking resources (such as the DC USA garage and Reeves Center garage), minimize traffic associated with "circling" for spaces, and reduce conflicts among users. 2008.145

See the Transportation and Land Use Elements for additional policies on off-street parking standards.

2009 MC-1.2 CONSERVING AND ENHANCING COMMUNITY RESOURCES
Conserving and Enhancing Community Resources 2009

2009.1 Policy MC-1.2.1: Cultural Diversity
Maintain the cultural diversity of Mid-City by encouraging housing and business opportunities for all residents, sustaining a strong network of social services for immigrant groups, and retaining affordable housing for families and other households within the Planning Area. 2009.1
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2009.2  
*Policy MC-1.2.2: English Language Programs and Vocational Training*
Work with established institutions such as public schools, charter schools, and *colleges* and *universities* *Howard University* to support alternative education and vocational training options for *residents who are English language learners*, *non-English speaking residents*. 2009.2

2009.3  
*Policy MC-1.2.3: Rock Creek Park*
Improve community access from the Mid-City area to Rock Creek Park by *establishing clear, direct pedestrian and bicycle connections between Adams Morgan, surrounding neighborhoods, and the Smithsonian National Zoo*. Work with the National Park Service (NPS) to explore opportunities for new recreational amenities in the park that *reduce the deficit*, *increase the amount* of open space and recreational facilities in the Mid-City. *Work with NPS to encourage continued open access to Rock Creek Park*. 2009.3

2009.4  
*Policy MC-1.2.4: New Parks*
Explore the possibility for new neighborhood parks within the Mid-City area, particularly in the area around the proposed Howard Town Center, and on the McMillan Reservoir site, such as with new development like that proposed at the McMillan Sand Filtration Site. Additionally, pocket parks and plazas such as those planned for the Columbia Heights Metro station area should be encouraged elsewhere in the Planning Area, particularly near higher density development. The *dearth of parks in the Mid-City area is a serious problem that must be addressed as its population grows*; *anticipated population growth further compounds the need for high-quality open space*—all recreation areas *must* *should* be retained, and new recreation areas *must* *should* be provided wherever possible. 2009.4

2009.5  
*Policy MC-1.2.5: Public Art*
Explore opportunities with local arts organizations, artists, and residents for public art throughout Mid-City. Focus efforts on identified gateways, parks, nodes, and opportunity sites; connections to Metrorail stations and underpasses; and other appropriate locations as determined by the community. 2009.5

2009.56  
*Policy MC-1.2.56: Neighborhood Greening*
Undertake neighborhood greening and planting projects throughout the Mid-City *Planning Area*, particularly on median strips, on public triangles, and along sidewalk planting strips. 2009.56

2009.67  
*Policy MC-1.2.67: Mid-City Historic Resources*
*Protect Preserve* the historic resources of the Mid-City *Planning Area, with*
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particular attention to neighborhoods that are currently not protected preserved by historic district designation and are at greater risk for demolition or inappropriate redevelopment. Historic resources to be protected also include the Taft and Ellington Bridges, Meridian Hill Park, the First Church Christ Scientist, and the historic Holt House. The design integrity of the bridges shall be preserved, and Meridian Hill/Malcolm X Park and the area around it shall be managed to preserve historic vistas and view corridors, as well as historic park features. 2009.67

Consider the designation of design guidelines for Lanier Heights, Reed-Cooke, Columbia Heights, Eckington, Bloomingdale, Park View, and other Mid-City neighborhoods as “Conservation Districts.” Adopt comprehensive design guidelines for historic districts that tailor historic district review standards to the specific preservation concerns in each community and Design standards and review procedures for such districts would be less rigorous than those used in Historic Districts, but would strive for more compatible infill development and maintenance of historic building scale, mass, and height conditions. 2009.78

2009.89 Action MC-1.2.B: Library Expansion
Modernize and upgrade the Mount Pleasant Branch Library, including expansion of library services. As funding allows, consider development of a new library in the eastern portion of Columbia Heights. 2009.89

2009.910 Action MC-1.2.C: Recreation Center
Pursue development of a new recreation center in the eastern part of the Planning Area, serving the Bloomingdale/Eckington/LeDroit Park community. This area was recognized to be particularly deficient for such uses as needing indoor and outdoor recreation space in the 2006 Parks Master Plan. 2009.910
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2010 MC-2 POLICY FOCUS AREAS Policy Focus Areas 2010

2010.1 The Comprehensive Plan has identified seven areas in Mid-City as “Policy Focus Areas,” indicating that they require a level of direction and guidance above that provided by the prior sections of this Area Element and in the Citywide Elements (see Map 20.1 and Table Figure 20.3). These areas are:

- Georgia Avenue NW Corridor
- 14th Street NW Corridor/Columbia Heights
- U Street NW/Uptown
- 18th Street and Columbia Road Adams Morgan
- Mount Pleasant Street NW
- McMillan Sand Filtration Site
- Mid-City East’s Major Corridors (North Capitol Street/Florida Avenue/New York Avenue)

2010.2 Table Figure 20.3: Policy Focus Areas Within and Adjacent to Mid-City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Within Mid-City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent to Mid-City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Map 2.01: Mid-City Policy Focus Areas. 2410.23
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2410.24 The Rock Creek East Element (Chapter 22) should be consulted for policies and actions on the reuse of the Armed Forces Retirement Home. The site adjoins the Mid-City Planning Area, and its reuse will affect transportation, infrastructure, and services in the Mid-City Planning Area. 2410.24

2011 MC-2.1 GEORGIA AVENUE CORRIDOR Georgia Avenue NW Corridor 2011

2011.1 Georgia Avenue NW is one of the city’s District’s most significant and historic avenues. As a major arterial, it carries thousands of commuters in, and out, of and through the city Washington, DC daily. As a commercial corridor, it provides goods and services to residents in neighborhoods like LeDroit Park, Pleasant Plains, and Park View. Yet today, the avenue is in need of revivalstill has not met its full potential. Despite its distinctive building stock and the strong housing market around it, and construction of new mixed-use buildings near Metro stations, the avenue still has pockets of crime, deteriorating commercial and residential properties, a steady increase of automobile-oriented businesses, and declining infrastructure and underperforming public spaces. While important infrastructure upgrades have been made along the corridor, others remain necessary. 2011.1

2011.2 Several planning initiatives have been launched for the Georgia Avenue NW corridor during in the past five yearsdecade or so. In 2005, the Office of Planning OP completed a Revitalization Strategy for the portion of the corridor extending from Euclid Street NW on the south to Decatur Street NW on the north. Below Euclid Street NW, much of the street frontage is controlled by Howard University and is addressed in the Howard Campus Plan. The University’s plans include joint development of Howard Town Center, a large mixed-use residential and retail project. The University also has launched the “LeDroit Park Initiative” to spur improvement and reinvestment in the surrounding neighborhood. South of Barry Place Street NW, Georgia Avenue NW/7th Street NW is contained within the Strategic Development Plan for the Uptown Destination District. The entire corridor is also one of the city’s Washington, DC’s designated Great Streets. 2011.2

2011.3 Although these initiatives cover different sections of the corridor, they share common goals. These include revitalizationrevitalizing the area through strategic growth and development, preserving preservation of historic assets and unique architecture, improving improvement of the streetscape and public space, creating creation of new housing and job opportunities, and upgrading of public transit. Such initiatives are bolstered through efforts by local faith-based institutions to provide family support and job training services in the community. 2011.3
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2011.4 Plans for Georgia Avenue NW seek to attract quality neighborhood-serving retail businesses and services, reduce vacancies, and explore shared parking strategies to meet parking demand. A number of specific actions have been recommended, including creation of an overlay zone to encourage redevelopment, market incentives (such as tax increment financing), façade improvement programs, and targeted improvements on blocks with high vacancies. New parking lots or structures are suggested on specific sites along the corridor, and pedestrian safety measures such as more visible crosswalks and improved lighting have been proposed. Parking management and pedestrian enhancements have also been proposed. The Georgia Avenue Revitalization Strategy includes an “Action Plan“ to initiate and monitor these measures. 2011.4

2011.5 Policy MC-2.1.1: Revitalization of Lower Georgia Avenue NW
Encourage continued revitalization of the Lower Georgia Avenue NW corridor. Lower Georgia Avenue NW should be an attractive, pedestrian-oriented “Main Street” with retail uses, local-serving offices, mixed-income housing, civic and cultural facilities, and well-maintained public space. 2011.5

2011.76 Policy MC-2.1.32: Georgia Avenue NW Design Improvements
Upgrade the visual quality of the Georgia Avenue NW corridor through urban design and public space improvements, including tree planting, new parks and plazas, upgraded triangle parks, and façade improvements that establish a stronger identity and improved image. 2011.76

2011.87 Policy MC-2.1.43: Howard University
Encourage and strongly support continued relationship-building between Howard University and the adjacent residential neighborhoods. Work with Howard University in the abatement of any outstanding community issues, such as the redevelopment of vacant property, façade/building enhancements, and buffering issues associated with campus expansion. Stimulate joint development opportunities with the University that benefit students and surrounding residents. 2011.87

2011.8 Policy MC-2.1.5: Cross-Town Connectivity
Strengthen cross-town connectivity through multimodal improvements as recommended in the Cross-Town Multimodal Transportation Study. 2011.8

2011.9 Policy MC-2.1.6: Pocket Parks and Plazas
Pursue opportunities to create new publicly accessible open spaces along the Georgia Avenue NW corridor. 2011.9
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2011.610  **Action Policy MC-2.1.2A: Segmenting the Corridor Identity**
Develop distinct identities for different segments of the Georgia Avenue NW corridor. Within the Mid-City Planning Area, these should include a Park View/Park Morton section (New Hampshire Avenue NW to Columbia Road NW to Irving), a Pleasant Plains section (Irving Street NW to Euclid Street NW), a Howard University section (Euclid Street NW to Barry Place NW), and the Uptown Arts District (Barry Place NW southward). 2011.610

2011.911  **Action MC-2.1.AB: Georgia Avenue NW Revitalization Strategy**
Implement the recommendations of the 2004 Revitalization Strategy for the Georgia Avenue and Petworth Metro Station Area and corridor. 2011.911

2011.4012  **Action MC-2.1.BC: Howard Town Center**
Develop a new mixed-use neighborhood center on land to the west of the Howard University Campus. This should include not only the planned Howard Town Center site (with housing, retail, and structured parking), but also a medium- to high-density, mixed-income housing development that provides a mix of affordable housing as a result of a rezoning effort, civic space, cultural facilities, and public open space on surrounding sites. Historic structures within the area should be preserved. Appropriate transitions in scale should be established between this center and the lower density row house neighborhoods to the west. 2011.4012

2011.4413  **Action MC-2.1.CD: Great Streets Improvements**
Implement the Great Streets initiative recommendations for Georgia Avenue NW, including transit improvements, façade improvements, upgraded infrastructure, blight abatement of vacant or underused properties, and incentives for housing and business development along the avenue. 2011.4413

Continue Pursue redevelopment of Park Morton as a “new community”, replacing the existing public housing development with an equivalent number of new public housing units, plus new market-rate and “workforce” moderate-income housing units, to create a new mixed-income community. Consider implementing this recommendation in tandem with plans for the reuse of public land on Spring Road NW. Ensure that every effort possible is made to avoid permanent displacement of residents if this action is followed. 2011.4214

2011.13  **Action MC-2.1.E: Reuse of Bruce School**
Encourage the reuse of the vacant historic Bruce School (Kenyon Street) as a neighborhood-serving public facility, such as a library, recreation facility, education center for youth and adults, or vocational training center, rather than using the site for private purposes. Open space on the site should be retained for community use. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2011.13
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2011.14 Action MC-2.1.F: Senior Wellness Center
Develop a Senior Wellness Center on the Lower Georgia Avenue corridor to meet the current and future needs of area residents. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2011.14

2011.15 Action MC-2.7.F: Preserving Historic Character
Consider design guidelines specific to Park View and Pleasant Plains, tailored to specific neighborhood concerns about compatible alterations and infill development. Consider potential historic designations in the context of these guidelines. 2011.15

2012 MC-2.2 14TH STREET CORRIDOR/COLUMBIA HEIGHTS 14th Street NW Corridor/Columbia Heights 2012

2012.1 14th Street NW is in the midst of has been experiencing an urban renaissance, with hundreds of new housing units completed in the last decade, more under construction, and new ground floor retail businesses opening on almost every block between Rhode Island Avenue NW and Park Road NW. Once a major commercial thoroughfare, the corridor was hard hit by the 1968 riots heavily impacted by the 1968 unrest, and many of its buildings sat vacant for more than 30 years. 2012.1

2012.2 Much of the recent activity has focused on the Columbia Heights Metro Station area. The commercial district around 14th and Park Streets NW and Park Road NW was initially developed in the early 1900s as a transit-oriented commercial center, anchored by the now–historic Riggs Bank (now PNC) and Tivoli Theater on the northwest and northeast corners. In 1997, a series of community workshops was held to create a redevelopment strategy for the area, ultimately targeting several major parcels owned by the Redevelopment Land Agency (RLA) around the Metro station. 2012.2

2012.3 The 1997 effort led to another initiative several years later, which culminated in the 2004 Columbia Heights Public Realm Framework Plan. The Framework Plan was developed to enhance public space in the Metro station vicinity. The plan was coordinated with plans for private development on adjacent properties, All totaled, this resulted in which when completed will add over 600 new housing units, approximately 650,000 square feet of retail space, 30,000 square feet of office space, and 2,000 parking spaces. The Framework Plan also incorporated connections to the new 800-student Bell Lincoln Middle and High School and Multi-Cultural Center Columbia Heights Education Campus, as well as other cultural and civic uses nearby. While most of Columbia Heights has been developed, some development opportunities still exist around the Metro station. 2012.3
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2012.4 The goal of the Columbia Heights Public Realm Framework Plan is to make the neighborhood more pedestrian-friendly, coordinate infrastructure improvements, and create a stronger civic identity for Columbia Heights. Its recommendations, which have been implemented, include a new civic plaza, paving and streetscape improvements, tree planting, public art, and reconfiguration of streets and intersections to improve pedestrian and vehicle safety. 2012.4

2012.5 South of Columbia Heights, medium-density, mixed-use development has been constructed on many blocks extending south from Irving Street NW to U Street NW. Over the past 10 years, redevelopment over the next ten years will have been reshaping the corridor from auto-oriented commercial uses, including several strip shopping centers and warehouses, to an attractive urban residential street. Special efforts should continue to be made to refurbish and preserve public subsidized housing along the corridor, and to establish appropriate transitions in scale and density between the corridor and the less dense residential areas on the west and east. Strengthening of the 11th Street NW neighborhood commercial district, located several blocks east of Columbia Heights, also should continue to be encouraged. With the opening of the DC-USA project and other new large-scale retail development near the Columbia Heights Metrorail station, programs to assist the existing small businesses in this area may be needed. 2012.5

2012.6 Over the past 10 years, DDOT has reconstructed and reconfigured streets and installed numerous bikeshare docking stations. Metro has improved bus service, and the carsharing economy has emerged, helping to balance mobility and access to new housing and retail. These improvements dramatically increased vibrancy and aim to create a variety of options for people to move throughout Washington, DC. The construction of hundreds of new housing units and one of the largest retail complexes in the city will have significant traffic impacts on Columbia Heights during the coming years. East-west traffic flow through the area is particularly problematic, since many of the east-west streets are residential in character and are already congested. Blocked travel lanes, double-parking, poorly marked lanes, angled intersections and poorly timed traffic signals contribute to the problem. Although DDOT completed a traffic study for the area in 2003 and identified potential transportation improvements, there is a need for additional traffic analysis to evaluate the impacts of planned development and develop appropriate mitigation measures. The goal of these measures should not be to increase vehicle speed on the east-west streets, but rather to improve mobility through the area and reduce the adverse effects of traffic on residents and businesses. 2012.6
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2012.7 Policy MC-2.2.1: Columbia Heights Metro Station Area Development

Develop and Maintain the Columbia Heights Metro Station area as a thriving mixed-use community center, anchored by mixed-income housing, community-serving retail, offices, civic uses, and public plazas. Strive to retain the neighborhood’s extraordinary cultural diversity as development takes place, and place a priority on development and services that meet the needs of local residents, such as preserving existing housing and creating more affordable and mixed-income housing close to the Metrorail station and bus transit corridors. 2012.7

2012.8 Policy MC-2.2.2: Public Realm Improvements

Improve the streets, sidewalks, and public rights-of-way in the 14th Street NW/Park Road NW vicinity to improve pedestrian safety and create a more attractive public environment. 2012.8

2012.9 Policy MC-2.2.3: Pocket Parks/Park Capacity

Pursue opportunities to create new publicly accessible open space in Columbia Heights and to increase community access to public school open space during non-school hours. Continue to improve the quality of existing parkland and outdoor recreation facilities. 2012.9

2012.10 Policy MC-2.2.4: Traffic and Parking Multimodal Management

Improve bus, pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular movement; parking management; and pedestrian safety along the 14th Street NW corridor while balancing the transportation and traffic needs of adjacent cross-streets. Undertake transportation improvement programs to sustain the additional residential, retail, and institutional development that is now under construction or planned around the Columbia Heights Metro station. These improvements should achieve a balanced multi-modal system that meets the needs of pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, and motorists. 2012.10

2012.11 Policy MC-2.2.5: 11th Street NW Commercial District

Retain the 11th Street NW corridor between Kenyon and Monroe Streets NW as a neighborhood shopping district. Preserve the mixed-use character of the corridor and encourage new local-serving retail businesses and housing. 2012.11

2012.12 Policy MC-2.2.6: Mid-14th Street NW Commercial District

Support ongoing efforts to strengthen the small businesses on Park Road NW and businesses on 14th Street NW between Newton Street and Shepherd Streets NW. This segment is identified as a critical commercial node in the Central 14th Street Small Area Plan adopted in 2012. Build on the momentum of development in Columbia Heights by extending enhanced streetscape elements into this area. Improve the commercial district by supporting the
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**recommendations of the Retail Action Strategy, including** façade improvements, technical assistance, enhanced public infrastructure, and other measures, to sustain a thriving business community that serves the surrounding neighborhood. 2012.12

**2012.13**

**Policy MC-2.2.7: East-West Connections**

Washington, DC has few east-west network connections north of the original L’Enfant Plan street grid. This makes east-west travel to and from neighborhoods and activity centers challenging, as a limited number of corridors carry the majority of the traffic. In 2016, DDOT undertook the Cross-Town Multimodal Transportation Study to identify improvements along the east-west connections that traverse Wards 1 and 5, address safety concerns, optimize mobility and operations, and improve efficiency for all modes along the corridor. Recommended improvements in the study specific to this policy focus area include transit priority treatments along Irving Street NW and Columbia Road NW, safety treatments at the intersections of Columbia Road NW and 14th and 16th Streets NW, and a new bicycle facility along Kenyon Street NW that would connect to a multiuse trail linking neighborhoods to the Washington Hospital Center and adjacent institutions. 2012.13

**2012.13**

**Action MC-2.2.A: Columbia Heights Public Realm Framework Plan**

Implement the Columbia Heights Public Realm Framework Plan, including the installation of unique lighting and street furniture, improvement of sidewalks, tree planting, public art, and construction of a civic plaza along 14th Street at Park Road and Kenyon Street. Streetscape improvements should include not only the 14th Street corridor, but gateway points throughout Columbia Heights. **Completed – See Implementation Table. 2012.13**

**2012.14**

**Action MC-2.2.B: Park Improvements**

Upgrade and re-design small neighborhood pocket parks within Columbia Heights, especially at Monroe and 11th Street, and at Oak/Ogden/14th Streets. **Completed – See Implementation Table. 2012.14**

**2012.15**

**Action MC-2.2.C: Mount Pleasant/Columbia Heights Transportation Improvements**

Implement the recommendations of the Mount Pleasant/Columbia Heights Transportation Study, including traffic calming measures for the Columbia Heights community. Update the Study recommendations as needed based on follow-up analysis of projected traffic conditions in the area. The updated study should address alternative routing of east-west traffic to reduce impacts on residential streets. **Completed – See Implementation Table. 2012.15**
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2012.14 Action MC-2.2.A: Cross-Town Multimodal Transportation Study
Implement DDOT’s Cross-Town Multimodal Transportation Study recommendations. 2012.14

2013 MC-2.3 U STREET/UP TOWN U Street NW/Uptown 2013

2013.1 U Street NW and the adjacent Cardozo and Shaw neighborhoods are an important part of the city’s African-American Washington, DC’s Black cultural history. African Americans Black residents first settled in the neighborhood in the 1880s, capitalizing on new streetcar lines and the absence of residential segregation rules. By the 1920s, the neighborhood had become the center of African American Black life in Washington, DC. Black-owned theaters, restaurants, night clubs, billiard parlors, and dance halls extended along U Street NW from 7th Street NW to 14th Street NW. During its heyday, legendary jazz greats like Duke Ellington, Louis Armstrong, Dizzy Gillespie, and Pearl Bailey performed at U Street NW venues. Today, the neighborhood is home to the African-American Civil War Memorial and Museum; and an African American Black Heritage Trail that commemorates important historic landmarks in Black history. 2013.1

2013.2 U Street has been in transition for the past decade. Some of the U Street NW area’s historic venues have been restored, and a new generation of restaurants and nightclubs is emerging. Hundreds Thousands of new housing units have been added, particularly west of 12th Street NW. The neighborhood has become more socially, culturally, and economically mixed diverse. The downside of U Street NW’s success vibrancy is that many of the long-time businesses, including those providing basic services like barber shops and bookstores, are having have had difficulty paying the higher rents and taxes that have come with gentrification change. Efforts to retain the street’s character must should do more than just preserve its buildings; measures to retain and assist existing businesses are needed foster diverse businesses and culture should continue. 2013.2

2013.3 In 2004, the District completed a Strategic Development Plan for the Uptown Destination District (called “DUKE”), focusing on the area along U Street NW between 6th Street NW and 13th Street NW and along 7th Street NW/Georgia Avenue NW between Rhode Island Avenue NW and Barry Place NW. The plan proposed revival of these blocks as a cultural destination, anchored by a restored Howard Theater, new retail and entertainment uses along 7th and U Streets NW, outdoor performance space, and up to 800 new housing units on vacant and/or underused underutilized sites. Office and hotel uses also are discussed as possible uses, capitalizing on the proximity to Howard University. 2013.3
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2013.4 The confluence of a strong real estate market, an excellent location near Metro stations and Howard University, and the desire of several government agencies to develop their vacant properties, will catalyze this area’s redevelopment during the next decade and will continue to shape its future. The DUKE Plan focuses on 16 publicly-owned sites, including sites owned by the District, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA), and the DC Housing Finance Agency (DCHFA), and the Redevelopment Land Agency. It also addresses sites owned by Howard University and the private sector within the study area. As development takes place, continued efforts to improve the streetscape and public space, provide affordable housing, preserve historic buildings, and mitigate development impacts (particularly those associated with the increased concentration of restaurants, night-clubs, and entertainment uses) should be included. 2013.4

2013.5 Policy MC-2.3.1: Uptown Destination District
Encourage the redevelopment growth and vibrancy of U Street NW between 6th-Street NW and 12th Street NW, and Georgia Avenue NW/7th Street NW between Rhode Island Avenue NW and Barry Place NW as a mixed-use residential/commercial center, with restored theaters, arts and jazz establishments, restaurants, and shops, and as well as housing serving a range of incomes and household types. 2013.5

2013.6 Policy MC-2.3.2: Uptown Subareas
Create a distinct and memorable identity for different sub-areas in the Uptown District, based on existing assets such as the Lincoln Theater, Howard University, the African-American Civil War Memorial, and the Howard Theater. 2013.6

2013.7 Policy MC-2.3.3: Uptown Design Considerations
Ensure that development in the Uptown Area is designed to make the most of its proximity to the Metro stations at Shaw and 13th Street NW, to respect the integrity of historic resources, provide new affordable and mixed-income housing opportunities, and to transition as seamlessly as possible to the residential neighborhoods nearby. 2013.7

2013.8 Policy MC-2.3.4: Cultural Tourism
Promote cultural tourism initiatives, public art, signage, and other improvements that recognize the African-American Black historical and cultural heritage of the Uptown area. Such initiatives should bring economic development opportunities to local residents and businesses, and establish a stronger identity for the area, both as a nationally significant African-American Black landmark and a district with prominent Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Questioning (LGBTQ) community sites.
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2013.8

Policy MC-2.3.5: Parking and Traffic Multimodal Management
Encourage the development of shared parking facilities in the Uptown area District, better management of existing parking resources, and improved surface transit to manage the increased parking demand trips to the area that will be generated by new development. 2013.9

Policy MC-2.3.6: Small Business Retention
Incorporate small business retention and assistance programs in the Uptown District’s revitalization, possibly including zoning regulations, tax relief, and other measures which assist small businesses as redevelopment along U Street NW, 9th Street NW, and 7th Street NW takes place. 2013.10

Action MC-2.3.A: Duke Development Framework Small Area Plan
Implement the DUKE Strategic Development Framework Plan to establish a destination-oriented mixed use development program for key vacant and existing historic sites between the historic Lincoln and Howard Theatres. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2013.11

Action MC-2.3.B: U Street/Shaw/Howard University Multi-Modal Transportation and Parking Study
Implement the recommendations of the U Street/Shaw/Howard University Multi-Modal Transportation and Parking Study to provide improved parking management, traffic safety and mobility, transit accessibility, pedestrian and bicycle safety, and streetscape design. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2013.12

See the Near Northwest Area Element for a discussion of further information about the Shaw/Convention Center Area Plan.

2014

MC-2.4 18TH STREET/COLUMBIA ROAD Adams Morgan 2014

Adams Morgan is well known for its mix of housing types and historic architecture, community pride, civic activism, and cultural diversity. Among longtime residents and artists who preserved the neighborhood’s bohemian feel are newer residents, including young professionals attracted by the lively and progressive culture and amenity-rich neighborhood. The neighborhood’s walkability, strong commercial core, access to public transportation, proximity to downtown, and engaged residents continue making Adams Morgan an attractive place to live. 2014.1

In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, Adams Morgan was a fashionable highly sought after suburb of row houses and elegant apartments. During World War II, many of its homes were divided into apartments (or used as
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rooming houses), changing the character of the neighborhood. A large number of young adults and immigrants settled in the neighborhood in the post-war years. A new name for the community was coined by community activists, combining the names of two neighborhood schools—the predominantly White Adams and the predominantly Black Morgan. 2014.2

In the 1950s and early 1960s, the neighborhood saw an influx of Cubans and Puerto Ricans. Beginning in the 1980s, waves of immigrants from Central America arrived, many seeking refuge from political and economic turmoil. Today, Adams Morgan has the second-largest Salvadoran population in the United States. The neighborhood’s colorful street murals, first painted by Latinos Hispanic/Latino residents in the 1970s, are now a Washington District tradition and are emulated throughout the city Washington, DC. The commercial district along 18th Street NW and Columbia Road NW has become a center of Washington nightlife, with an array of ethnic restaurants, coffee houses, bars, and funky unique shops that attract people from across the region, as well as visitors to the city District. 2014.23

The neighborhood continues to experience growing pains as it grapples with strong demand for housing and the popularity of its entertainment scene. The neighborhood continues to be in strong demand for housing and its popular entertainment scene. To the east of 18th Street NW, a zoning overlay was created for the Reed-Cooke area in 1989 to protect conserve existing housing and ensure compatible infill development on a number of large properties. Several large low-rise condominium projects were developed in the 1990s and early 2000s, and today there are plans for a new grocery store in the former Citadel skating rink has also been completed. 2014.23

Adams Morgan has long been a destination for residents and visitors. From the 1990s-2000s, Adams Morgan was one of the District’s de facto neighborhoods for nighttime entertainment. Among the many offerings in the neighborhood were international cuisine, unique bars, and independent shops selling goods not found anywhere else in Washington, DC. As the building boom in the District took off in the early 2000s, Adams Morgan, like other District neighborhoods, saw change. More young professionals began moving in, and buildings were renovated or constructed to accommodate demand. Customers also changed their retail patterns as more options emerged in other neighborhoods. Longtime restaurants and shops were impacted by the changing retail landscape and would benefit greatly from planning and technical assistance. 2014.23

The history, ethnic makeup, and bohemian characteristics that defined Adams Morgan’s past are still woven into the neighborhood fabric today.
Residents continue to be highly engaged in their community, regardless of the neighborhood’s evolving demographic and socio-economic makeup. 2014.6

Elsewhere in the neighborhood, there remains a desire to provide housing for families, diversify eating and business establishments, maintain and grow the number of affordable housing units, and adequately buffer between residential and commercial uses. There are still concerns about the conversion of row houses to apartments, over concentration of bars, the loss of affordable housing units, and inadequate buffering between residential and commercial uses. Public-private redevelopment—Renovation and modernization of the Marie Reed School campus and recreation center is currently under consideration—are complete, providing the project provides an opportunity for a new school and community facility for the neighborhood and contributing to the public life of Adams Morgan. New development—and possibly new affordable housing, but—has raised concerns about additional density, congestion, and the loss of open space. The continued strong involvement of the Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC), local community organizations, and individual residents will be important as these conflicts and challenges are addressed. 2014.47

In 2014, OP launched the Adams Morgan Vision Framework (AMVF), a strategic planning initiative and engagement process that built upon previous planning studies to define key goals and action items for the neighborhood. Completed in 2016, AMVF identifies opportunity areas to preserve the neighborhood’s physical characteristics, enhance retail and amenities, support sustainability, and improve the quality of life for the community. AMVF includes 17 goals with corresponding implementable recommendations for the neighborhood. The goals are centered around five core categories important for Adams Morgan’s continued development and growth: (1) creating great places; (2) redefining retail; (3) embracing sustainability; (4) strengthening identity through arts, history, and culture; and (5) bolstering community. The policies and actions below reflect key goals and recommendations from AMVF. 2014.8

Policy MC-2.4.1: Protecting the Character of Adams Morgan

Protect the historic character of the Adams Morgan community through historic landmark and district designations, and by ensuring that new construction is consistent with the prevailing heights and densities in the neighborhood and provides opportunities for affordable housing. 2014.59

Policy MC-2.4.2: Preference for Local-Serving Businesses

Enhance the local-serving, multi-cultural character of the 18th Street
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NW/Columbia Road NW business district. Encourage small businesses that meet the needs of local residents, as well as an appropriate mix of establishments that both neighbors and visitors to the area can enjoy, rather than convenience stores, large-scale commercial uses, and concentrations of liquor-licensed establishments. Consistent with this policy, the conversion of restaurants to night clubs or taverns and the expansion of existing night clubs or taverns into adjacent buildings should be discouraged. 2014.6

Policy MC-2.4.3: Mixed-Use Character
Encourage retention of the older mixed-use buildings along 18th Street NW and Columbia Road NW and facilitate infill projects which that complement them in height, scale, and design. Discourage conversion of existing apartment buildings in the commercial area to non-residential uses, and ensure that the long-term viability of these uses is not threatened compromised by the encroachment of incompatible uses. 2014.2

Policy MC-2.4.4: Transportation Improvements
Improve traffic movement, accessibility, and the flow of people along key arterial streets, particularly along 18th Street NW and Columbia Road NW and residential connector streets such as Kalorama Road NW and Euclid Street NW. Implement new measures to address parking problems on residential streets near the Adams Morgan business district. These measures could include extension of the residential permit parking program to a “24/7” time frame, with appropriate consideration given to the needs of residents, businesses, and visitors. 2014.8

Policy MC-2.4.5: Reed-Cooke Area
Protect Support existing housing within the Reed-Cooke neighborhood, maintain maintaining heights and densities at appropriate levels, and encourage encouraging small-scale business development that does not adversely affect the residential community. 2014.9

Policy MC-2.4.6: Adams Morgan Public and Institutional Facilities
Encourage the retention and adaptive reuse of existing public facilities in Adams Morgan, including the use of schools for public purposes such as education, clinics, libraries, and recreational facilities. In addition, encourage the constructive, adaptive, and suitable reuse of historic churches with new uses such as housing in the event such facilities cease to operate as churches. 2014.10

Action MC-2.4.A: AMVF
Implement the recommendations in AMVF. 2014.15

Action MC-2.4.A: 18th Street/Adams Morgan Transportation and Parking Study
Work closely with the Advisory Neighborhood Commission and community to
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implement appropriate recommendations of the 18th Street/ Adams Morgan Transportation and Parking Study, which was prepared to better manage vehicle traffic, pedestrian and bicycle movement, on-street and off-street parking, and streetscape improvements along 18th Street and in the surrounding area of Adams Morgan. Appropriate recommendations are those on which a consensus can be developed, and those on which consensus may not be achieved but where the views and comments of all stakeholders have been duly considered and discussed. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2014.11

2014.1216 Action MC-2.4.B: Washington Heights and Lanier Heights and Reed-Cooke
Support the designation of the Washington Heights area as a National Register Historic District. Conduct additional historical surveys and consider design guidelines specific to historic district designations for other areas around Adams Morgan, including Lanier Heights, portions of and Reed-Cooke, the 16th Street area, and Consider historic designation of Walter Pierce Community Park. 2014.1216

2014.13 Action MC-2.4.C: Marie H. Reed Community Learning Center
Continue the community dialogue on the reuse of the Marie H. Reed Community Learning Center to determine the feasibility of modernizing the school, improving the playing fields and recreational facilities, and providing enhanced space for the health clinic and other community services. This dialogue should be undertaken in the context of addressing present and future local public facility needs, open space needs, school enrollment and program needs, and the community’s priorities for the site. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2014.13

2014.1417 Action MC-2.4.D: Local Business Assistance
Explore the feasibility of amending tax laws or developing tax abatement and credit programs to retain neighborhood services and encourage small local-serving businesses space along 18th Street NW and Columbia Road NW. Identify technical assistance needs and priorities of Hispanic/Latino-, Asian-, and Black-owned/operated businesses in the neighborhood, and recognize the benefits that naturally arise from cultural variety among tenants. 2014.1417

See also Action MC-1.1.A regarding the rezoning of row house blocks.

2014.18 Action MC-2.4.E: Design Guidelines
Develop design guidelines for Adams Morgan, including commercial, residential, and open-space areas. Highlight and identify the principles of compatible design and neighborhood character preservation. 2014.18
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2014.19  Action MC-2.4.F: Commercial District Management
Approach commercial district management as a unified operation while developing targeted marketing and localized strategies that enhance and reinforce the unique identity and needs of each retail cluster. 2014.19

2014.20  Action MC-2.4.G: Enhance the Neighborhood Retail Experience
Use existing Adams Morgan Partnership BID committees and the BID Board to develop joint retail objectives. Work with retailer and resident liaisons involved in the BID to carry out joint initiatives that enhance the neighborhood retail experience. 2014.20

2015  MC-2.5  MOUNT PLEASANT STREET Mount Pleasant Street NW 2015

2015.1 The Mount Pleasant Street NW shopping district was developed around the end of a streetcar line and has served the surrounding community for more than a century. Mount Pleasant has been a designated National Register Historic District since 1987 and is one of the Washington, DC "Main Streets." Today, the commercial district includes a variety of small businesses and services, many oriented toward the large Hispanic/Latino population in the area. The area between Mount Pleasant Street NW and 16th Street NW is one of the densest in the city District, with numerous large apartment complexes. 2015.1

2015.2 There is broad agreement that Mount Pleasant Street NW should remain a culturally diverse, pedestrian-oriented, local-serving shopping street in the future, and that the local flavor of the business mix should be preserved protected. As in other Mid-City neighborhoods, there are concerns about rising rents and the loss of business diversity. There is strong interest in attracting new arts establishments and locally-owned restaurants to the neighborhood, and in promoting multi-culturalism through outdoor fairs, public art, and street performances. There is also strong interest in protecting preserving the architectural integrity and historic proportions of Mount Pleasant’s residential streets, and in acquiring additional open space for public access and community use. 2015.2

2015.3 Opinions on the extent of new development that should be accommodated along Mount Pleasant Street itself are mixed. Some residents strongly desire denser mixed-use development, with new affordable and market rate housing above retail space. Others remain concerned about the impacts of additional density on traffic, parking, and historic character, and the effects of retail and restaurant growth on nearby residential uses. A continued dialogue on growth and development issues will be necessary to find the right balance. 2015.3
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2015.3 In 2010, the DC Council approved the Mount Pleasant Street Commercial Revitalization Strategy. This Small Area Plan provides a framework to revitalize commercial activity along the historic corridor and set the stage for long-term future growth. The policies and actions below reflect key goals and recommendations from the plan. 2015.3

2015.4 Policy MC-2.5.1: Mount Pleasant Street NW’s Character
Maintain and preserve the Mount Pleasant Street NW’s local neighborhood shopping character of Mount Pleasant Street to better serve the surrounding neighborhood. Support creative cultural design while protecting preserving historic landmarks. 2015.4

2015.5 Policy MC-2.5.2: Involving the International Community
Promote bilingual outreach and communication with local merchants and residents to more effectively address business impacts and create a better match between neighborhood businesses and the needs of the community. 2015.5

2015.6 Policy MC-2.5.3: Mount Pleasant as a Creative Economic Enclave
Support creative and multi-cultural expression in Mount Pleasant through display, performance, festivals, and economic development strategies. The neighborhood should be a creative economic enclave, where incubators and small businesses that combine cultural and small-scale technological initiatives are supported. Cultural arts should be more fully integrated into the landscape of Mount Pleasant Street NW and should be part of the experience of living or shopping there. Additional arts, crafts, galleries, licensed market vendors, and space for business incubators and consulting services in the creative professions should be encouraged. 2015.6

2015.7 Policy MC-2.5.4: Open Space Access
Pursue improvements to existing open space in the Mount Pleasant community, including better connections to Rock Creek Park, enhancements to pocket parks and plazas, and encouraging the joint use of school facilities to meet local recreational needs. 2015.7

2015.8 Policy MC-2.5.5: Promoting Affordable Housing in Mount Pleasant
Protect Preserve existing affordable housing in Mount Pleasant and support opportunities for new affordable housing as a component of mixed-use infill development along Mount Pleasant Street NW and in the area between Mount Pleasant Street and 16th Streets NW. 2015.8

2015.9 Policy MC-2.5.6: Strengthen Small Business
Implement technical assistance to strengthen existing small businesses and increase opportunities for new entrepreneurs to capture more of the neighborhood customer base. 2015.9
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2015.10  
Policy MC-2.5.7: Promote and Market Mount Pleasant Street NW  
Attract additional consumers to the corridor by promoting the unique character of Mount Pleasant Street NW. 2015.10

2015.11  
Policy MC-2.5.8: Enhance the Appearance of Mount Pleasant Street NW  
Improve the Mount Pleasant Street NW streetscape and physical appearance by transforming it into a green street that can be used as a model for eco-friendly development practices. 2015.11

2015.12  
Policy MC-2.5.9: Increase Creative Uses on Mount Pleasant Street NW  
Undertake temporary urbanism initiatives to transform vacant and underused sites and spaces into vibrant destinations and creative showcases. 2015.12

2015.913  
Action MC-2.5.A: Incentives for Mixed-Use Development and Affordable Housing  
Consider planning and zoning tools in Mount Pleasant to create incentives for ground floor retail and upper story mixed-income residential uses along Mount Pleasant Street NW, with performance standards that ensure the compatibility of adjacent uses. Provide the necessary flexibility to encourage innovation and creative economic development, possibly including ground floor small businesses on alleys and walkways in the area between 16th and 17th Streets NW. 2015.913

2015.10  
Action MC-2.5.B: Expanding Mount Pleasant Open Space  
Restore access to the Bell Lincoln recreational facilities and ensure continued public access to (and restoration of) the Department of Parks and Recreation Headquarters property and playground on 16th Street for the benefit of residents of the surrounding community, including Mount Pleasant and Columbia Heights. Access for pedestrians, persons using wheelchairs, and bicyclists between Mount Pleasant and Columbia Heights should be provided through this area. Consideration should also be given to combining Asbury and Rabeau Parks (at 16th, Harvard, and Columbia Road) into a single park. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2015.10

2015.1414  
Action MC-2.5.C: Mount Pleasant Street Façade Improvements  
Encourage urban design and façade improvements in the established commercial district along Mount Pleasant Street NW. 2015.1414

2015.15  
Action MC-2.5.D: Mount Pleasant Street NW Commercial Revitalization Strategy  
Implement Mount Pleasant Street Small Area Plan recommendations. 2015.15
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2015.16 Action MC-2.5.E: Market the Unique Character of Mount Pleasant Street NW
Led by the Mount Pleasant Main Street, coordinate a marketing campaign to promote Mount Pleasant businesses to District residents outside the neighborhood. 2015.16

2016 MC-2.6 McMillan Sand Filtration Site

2016.1 The McMillan Sand Filtration site occupies 25 acres at the corner of North Capitol Street NW and Michigan Avenue NW. Once used to filter drinking water from the Potomac River, the plant was closed and sold by the federal government to the District of Columbia for “community development purposes” in 1987. The site currently appears as an open area of grass and trees with two rows of enigmatic concrete towers covered with ivy. Beneath the surface are 20 unreinforced concrete filter cells, each one acre in size and in various states of disrepair. The entire site is considered historically significant. When the filtration system was created in 1905, it was considered an engineering marvel and a model for other plants nationwide. The entire site is a designated historic landmark. 2016.1

2016.2 The McMillan Sand Filtration site has been the subject of community forums for nearly 20 years several decades. Many residents have advocated for a park on the site, noting its historic significance. In fact, the filtration site and the adjacent McMillan reservoir were part of the Emerald Necklace of parks conceived in the 1901 McMillan Plan, and the site itself was originally designed by Frederick Law Olmsted, Jr. Past proposals for the site have been the subject of lawsuits, and the former Comprehensive Plan designation of the site for mixed-use development was itself the subject of a lawsuit from in 1989-1992. 2016.2

2016.3 The District conducted planning workshops for the site in 2000 to explore potential new uses. Several options were considered, including a community park, a retail-hotel complex, and a mixed use residential-retail scenario. Each of the options dedicated a substantial portion of the site as parkland. In 2004, an unsolicited proposal to build 1,200 units of housing on the site was made by a private developer. In 2005, the site was transferred from the District to the National Capital Revitalization Corporation (NCRC). NCRC is currently developing plans for the property. 2016.3

2016.4 Several basic objectives should be pursued in the development and re-use of the McMillan Sand Filtration site. These are outlined in the policies below. 2016.4
Policy MC-2.6.1: Open Space on McMillan Reservoir and Sand Filtration Site

Require that encourage development and reuse plans for the McMillan Reservoir Sand Filtration site to dedicate a substantial contiguous portion of the site for recreation and open space. The open space should provide allow for both active and passive recreational uses, and should adhere to high standards of landscape design, accessibility, and security. Consistent with the 1901 McMillan Plan, connectivity to nearby open spaces such as the Armed Forces Retirement Home, should be achieved through site design. 2016.54

Policy MC-2.6.2: Historic Preservation at McMillan Reservoir

Restore key above-ground elements of the site in a manner that is compatible with the original plan, and explore the preservation or adaptive reuse of some of the underground “cells” as part of the historic record of the site. Preservation poses a challenge given the collapse of most cells. The cultural significance of this site, and its importance to the history of the District of Columbia Washington, DC’s history should must be recognized as it is developed, reopened to the public, and reused. Consideration should be given to monuments, memorials, and museums interpretive features as part of the site design. 2016.65

Policy MC-2.6.3: Mitigating Reuse Impacts

Ensure that any development on the site is designed to reduce parking, traffic, and noise impacts on the community; be architecturally compatible with the surrounding community; and improve transportation options to the site and surrounding neighborhood. The new Planned Unit Development (PUD) calls for 290,650 square feet of medical use. Any change in use on the site should increase connectivity between Northwest and Northeast neighborhoods, as well as the hospital complex Washington Hospital Center and Armed Forces Retirement Home to the north. 2016.76

Policy MC-2.6.4: Community Involvement in Development and Reuse Planning

Be responsive to community needs and concerns in development and reuse planning for the site. Amenities which that are accessible to the community and which respond to neighborhood needs should be included. 2016.87

Policy MC-2.6.5: Scale and Mix of New Uses

Recognize the substantial potential of the McMillan Sand Filtration site to address multiple planning and development priorities and that development on portions of the McMillan Sand Filtration site may be necessary to stabilize the site and provide the desired open space and amenities. Where development takes place, the Development of the site should consist of moderate- to medium-
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density retail, and other compatible uses, residential, retail, office, and recreational uses. Residential development should include a mix of units and housing types for persons of various incomes; new buildings should be planned and designed in a manner that is informed by the height, mass, scale, and uses of existing and planned buildings in the surrounding area, as appropriate. Other uses may include health care facilities that provide connectivity to the Washington Hospital Center. Compatible with the rules governing PUDs, the density for the McMillan Sand Filtration Site shall be calculated for the site as a whole. Individual buildings may have greater height than is typically associated within a specific land use designation. New buildings should be planned and designed in a manner that is informed by the height, mass, scale, and uses of the surrounding context, as appropriate. Any development on the site should maintain views and vistas and be situated in a way that minimizes impacts on historic resources and adjacent development. 2016.98

Continue working with the National Capital Revitalization Corporation and adjacent communities in the development and implementation of reuse plans for the McMillan Reservoir Sand Filtration site. 2016.109

2017 MC-2.7 MID-CITY EAST (NORTH CAPITOL STREET/FLORIDA/NEW YORK AVENUE) BUSINESS DISTRICT Mid-City East’s Major Corridors (North Capitol Street/Florida Avenue/New York Avenue 2017

2017.1 The Mid-City East area sits near the center of Washington, DC and showcases historic residential fabric and institutions, a rich diversity of residents, valued open spaces, and burgeoning retail amenities. The Mid-City East area is made up of neighborhoods flanking the major corridors of North Capitol Street, New York Avenue, Florida Avenue, New Jersey, and Rhode Island Avenues NE/NW, including: intersections provides the commercial center for the surrounding LeDroit Park, Bloomingdale, Eckington, and Bates/Truxton Circle, and Hanover neighborhoods (the Bates/Truxton Circle and Hanover neighborhoods are located in the Near Northwest Area Element). The inviting character of these neighborhoods is juxtaposed by the major arterials that bisect them. Despite acting as real and formidable boundaries, the street corridors also create opportunities for retail enhancement, new development, and improved connectivity. The neighborhoods themselves are diverse in age, income, and ethnicity. They consist of a mix of row houses and small apartment buildings. Home prices in the neighborhood have tripled since 2000, significantly increased in the past 10 years, and many long-time residents are feeling the pressure of gentrification displacement. Washington, DC’s industrial heritage survives in Eckington’s important and
The commercial areas in Mid-City East district itself are in need of revitalization. Although it was designated a DC Main Street in 2000 and reinvigorated in 2014, the North Capitol Street corridor experiences suffering from a lack of neighborhood-serving businesses, high vacancies, crime, and inadequate access to parking and parking. The North Capitol frontage Street corridor is particularly challenged by a myriad of confusing and often congested intersections, and crisscrossing diagonal streets and triangles making pedestrian movement difficult. A transportation study in 2004-2005, the Council approved the 2014 Mid-City East Small Area Plan and accompanying Livability Study to address these issues, with the goal of improving vehicle flow and improving safety. The Small Area Plan provided a framework for conservation, development, sustainability, and connectivity and Study explored the feasibility of reconstructing Truxton Circle (at North Capitol and Florida), and identified specific short-term and long-term transportation, streetscape, and infrastructure improvements. The vision for the area is to improve quality of life and enhance neighborhood amenities and character while supporting a community of culturally, economically, and generationally diverse residents. The purpose of the Livability Study was to address the challenges that residents face in meeting their daily needs, enhance community access and circulation for residents of all ages and abilities, preserve local streets as the home of neighborhoods and communities, and provide opportunities in public rights-of-way to celebrate community identity and place. 2017.2

The North Capitol commercial district is just a few blocks west of the New York Avenue NoMa/Gallaudet Metro station and lies on the northern edge of the North of–Massachusetts Avenue (NOMA) (NoMa) district. Conditions on the corridor are likely to change dramatically as have changed since NoMa NOMA was redeveloped with offices and high-density housing. The commercial district corridor is well situated to benefit from these changes, but it first needs a strategy to address the needs of the residential community, manage traffic access, upgrade the public realm, and improve public safety. 2017.3

Policy MC-2.7.1: North Capitol/Florida Business District Commercial Revitalization
Revitalize neighborhood commercial areas, including retail, dining, and small office space. Upgrade the commercial district at Florida Avenue/North Capitol/New York Avenue NE, restoring vacant storefronts and streetscapes to active use and accommodating compatible neighborhood-serving infill development. 2017.4
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2017.5 Policy MC-2.7.2: **Eckington/Bloomingdale Neighborhood Character**
Protect Preserve and retain the architectural integrity and cultural resources of the Eckington/Bloomingdale Mid-City East neighborhoods, and encourage the continued restoration compatible rehabilitation and improvement of the area’s row houses. 2017.5

2017.6 Policy: MC-2.7.3: **Connecting Bloomingdale and Eckington**
Improve connectivity between Bloomingdale and Eckington by expanding the North Capitol overpass, decking over the entire expanse to create a tunnel between Seaton Place NE and Rhode Island Avenue NE and creating a green space to make crossing North Capitol Street NE more inviting for pedestrians and other persons using non-motor vehicles. 2017.6

2017.7 Policy: MC-2.7.4: **Increased Green Space**
Encourage preservation and improvement of existing green spaces in Eckington. Identify new opportunities for additional public green space and tree planting throughout the neighborhood and along the Metropolitan Branch Trail, including additional pocket parks. 2017.7

2017.8 Policy MC-2.7.5: **New York Avenue NE and Florida Avenue NE Intersection**
Implement short-term and long-term improvements to the intersection of New York Avenue NE and Florida Avenue NE to enhance connectivity, increase safety for pedestrians and those using non-motor vehicles, and reduce motor vehicle speed. 2017.8

2017.69 Action MC-2.7.A: **Mid-City East Small Area Plan**
Implement recommendations provided in the Mid-City East Small Area Plan. 2017.69

**North Capitol Revitalization Strategy**
Prepare a Small Area Plan/Revitalization Strategy for the North Capitol/Florida Avenue business district, including recommendations for streetscape improvements, land use and zoning changes, parking management and pedestrian safety improvements, retail development, and opportunities for new housing and public services. 2017.6

2017.7 Action MC-2.7.B: **Design Guidelines Conservation District**
Consider the designation of the Eckington/Bloomingdale/Truxton Circle neighborhood as a Conservation District, recognizing that most of its structures are 80-100 years old and may require additional design guidance to ensure the compatibility of alterations and infill development. Completed – See Implementation Table. 2017.7

2017.8 Action MC-2.7.C: **North Capitol Transportation Study**
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Implement the recommendations of the North Capitol Street/Truxton Circle Transportation Study. Completed – See Implementation Table, 2017.8

2017.10  
Action MC-2.7.B: Make/Live Workspace  
Explore make/live workspace as a buffer between industrial land and residential land as identified in the Ward 5 Works Industrial Land Transformation Study. 2017.10

2017.11  
Action MC-2.7.C: Mid-City East Livability Study  
Implement recommendations provided in the Mid-City East Livability Study. 2017.11